arXiv:1610.01914v3 [math.CO] 28 Nov 2016

Group Invariant Weighing Matrices

Ming Ming Tan

School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Abstract

We investigate the existence problem of group invariant matrices using algebraic approaches. We extend the usual concept of multipliers to group rings with cyclotomic integers as coefficients. This concept is combined with the field descent method and rational idempotents to develop new non-existence results.

Keywords: weighing matrices, circulant matrices, multipliers, Weil numbers, field descent

1. Introduction

A **weighing matrix** $M = \boldsymbol{W(v, n)}$ is a square matrix of order v with entries $0, \pm 1$, and satisfying

$$MM^T = nI,$$

for some positive integer n, where T denotes the matrix transpose and I is the identity matrix. We call n the **weight** of M. The weight of a weighing matrix equals the number of non-zero entries in each row of M. The following is an example of $W(7, 4)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The most important question in the study of weighing matrices is: For what values of v and n, does there exist a $W(v, n)$? For a survey of weighing matrices, see [22]. See [36, 27, 24, 15, 14, 41, 18, 35, 45, 16] for further references. For the special case where $v = n$, the weighing matrix is an Hadamard matrix. Hadamard matrix has been studied intensively. See [40] for an overview.

For our studies, we only focus on weighing matrices which are invariant under a group operation. Let G be a group of order v. A weighing matrix

$M = (m_{f,g})_{f,g \in G}$ indexed with the elements of G is said to be **G-invariant** if $m_{fk,gk} = m_{f,g}$ for all $f, g, k \in G$. Notice that a group invariant matrix can be identified solely by its first row.

If G is a cyclic group, then a G-invariant weighing matrix is circulant. A circulant matrix is a matrix such that any row can be obtained by a cyclic shift of the preceding row one position to the right. Circulant weighing matrices have been studied much more intensively compared to other group invariant weighing matrices. We denote a **circulant weighing matrix** by $CW(v, n)$.

In the present paper, we prove the non-existence of infinite families of group invariant weighing matrices. Our algebraic approaches mainly consists of "F-bound", generalized multiplier theorems, analysis of cyclotomic integers of prescribed absolute value and rational idempotents. In particular, we solved 19 open cases of circulant weighing matrices of order less than 200. We append the most updated version of Strassler's table concerning the existence status of circulant weighing matrices of order at most 200 and weight at most 100. Detailed references for each case are provided as well. See Table A.3. In addition, we solved some open cases in the table of group invariant weighing matrices in [2], where the group is abelian but non-cyclic. Summary of these results are in Table A.4.

In this paper, all previously known results are labeled as "Result xyz". A preliminary version of some of the new results in this paper have appeared in the author's thesis [43].

2. Preliminaries

To study group invariant weighing matrices we use the group ring language. Consider a finite group G of order v. The integral group ring of G, denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, is a ring that consists of elements of the form

$$D = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$$

with $a_g \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call a_g the **coefficient** of g in D and the set of group elements with non-zero coefficients in D the **support** of D, written as

$$\mathrm{supp}(D) = \{g \in G : a_g \neq 0\}.$$

A group homomorphism $G \to H$ is always assumed to be extended to a group ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[H]$ by linearity. Let t be an integer. We define

$$D^{(t)} = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g^t.$$

For a subset S of G, we will (by abuse of notation) use the same symbol S to denote the corresponding group ring element $\sum_{h\in S} h$. Hence, depending on the context, the same symbol S could mean a subset of group elements or a group ring element. This abuse of notation is a standard convention. In addition, by abuse of notation, we denote the identity element of a group by 1.

We can identify a G-invariant matrix $H = (h_{g,k})$ with the element $\sum_{g\in G} h_{1,g}g$ of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Note that H^T is identified with $H^{(-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ under this correspondence. Hence we have the following results.

Result 2.1. *A G-invariant matrix H is a $W(v,n)$ if and only if $H \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ has coefficients $0, \pm 1$ and satisfies*

$$HH^{(-1)} = n$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

This is the formulation we will use in the rest of our papers.

Let a be a positive integer. A G-invariant **integer weighing matrix**, $IW_a(v,n)$, is defined the same way as $W(v,n)$, but with the entries not confined to $\{0, \pm 1\}$ but to $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm a\}$ instead. We denote an **integer circulant weighing matrix** by $ICW_a(v,n)$. Note that we remove the subscript a when $a = 1$. Integer weighing matrices play a crucial role in investigating the existence problem of weighing matrices. The following two results are fundamental and can be easily derived by applying group homomorphism on the defining group ring equations in Result 2.1.

Result 2.2. *Let G be a group of order v. Assume that a weighing matrix $H = W(v,n)$ is G-invariant. Let U be a normal subgroup of index u of G. Let $\rho : G \to G/U$ denote the canonical epimorphism. Then $\rho(H)$ is a G/U-invariant $IW_{v/u}(u,n)$. In particular, if G is cyclic, then $\rho(H)$ is an $ICW_{v/u}(u,n)$.*

Result 2.3. *Let G be a group of order v. Let D be a G-invariant $IW_a(v,n)$. Write $D = \sum_{g\in G} a_g g$ where the a_g's are integers. Then*

(a) *$n = k^2$ for some integer k,*

(b) *$\sum_{g\in G} a_g = \pm k$,*

(c) *$\sum_{g\in G} a_g^2 = k^2$.*

Let H be a subgroup of G. A H-invariant $W(|H|,n)$ can be view as G-invariant $W(|G|,n)$. We ignore such trivial extension and consider only **proper** group invariant weighing matrices, i.e. weighing matrices $D \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ such that there is no $g \in G$ and no subgroup of H of G with $Dg \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$. We call two group invariant weighing matrices $D, E \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ **equivalent** if there are $g \in G$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(t, |G|) = 1$ such that $E = \pm D^{(t)} g$.

For an abelian group G, we denote the group of complex characters of G by $\hat{G}$. For a subgroup U of G, we write $U^{\perp}$ for the subgroup of all characters which are trivial on U. We need the following result which is a consequence of the orthogonality relations between characters, see [23, Chapter 4, Thm. 2.1].

Result 2.4. *Let G be an abelian group and let $D \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Let U be a subgroup of G. For $D = \sum a_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ write $D \cap U := \sum_{g \in U} a_g g$. Let χ be any character of G. Then*

$$\chi(D \cap U) = \frac{|U|}{|G|} \sum_{\tau \in U^{\perp}} \chi\tau(D).$$

(here $\chi\tau$ is the character which sends $g \in G$ to $\chi(g)\tau(g)$).

The following is a standard result, see [11, VI, Lem. 3.5] for a proof.

Result 2.5 (Inversion Formula)**.** *Let G be an abelian group. Let $D = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Then one can recover the coefficients of D as follows:*

$$a_g = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(D)\chi(g^{-1}).$$

If $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ satisfy $\chi(A) = \chi(B)$ for all characters χ of G, then $A = B$.

The following well known result is a consequence of 2.5.

Result 2.6. *Let G be an abelian group of order v. Suppose $D \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ has coefficients in $\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm a\}$ for some integer a. Then D is a G-invariant $IW_a(v, n)$ if and only if $|\chi(D)|^2 = n$ for all $\chi \in \hat{G}$.*

The important equation where character values $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$ satisfying

$$X\overline{X} = n \tag{1}$$

for some integer n is called the **modulus equation**. Solutions of modulus equation are called **Weil numbers**. In Subsection 4.3, we shall see how the knowledge of Weil numbers helps in solving some open cases of circulant weighing matrices. The classical result on Weil numbers is the following result by Kronecker. See [12, Section 2.3, Thm. 2] for a proof.

Result 2.7 (Kronecker)**.** *A non-zero algebraic integer all of whose conjugates have absolute values less than or equal to* 1 *is a root of unity.*

Since the Galois group of a cyclotomic field is abelian, Result 2.7 implies that if $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$ has absolute value 1, then X is a root of unity.

The following result characterizes all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_v)/\mathbb{Q})$ which fix all prime ideal divisors of n in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$ for some integer n. For a proof, see [11, VI. Thm. 15.2]. We need the following notations. Suppose t is an integer coprime to v. Let $\sigma_t \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_v)/\mathbb{Q})$ denote the automorphism defined by

$$\zeta_v^{\sigma_t} = \zeta_v^t.$$

For a prime p and integer x, let $x(p)$ be the p-free part of x, i.e., $x = x(p)p^a$ where $x(p)$ is coprime to p. Finally, we denote the number of distinct prime divisors of an integer u by $\delta(u)$ and the Euler totient function by φ.

Result 2.8. *Let v be a positive integer and let t be an integer coprime to v. Then σ_t fixes all prime ideal divisors of n in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$ if and only if for each prime p dividing n, there is an integer b such that*

$$t \equiv p^b \bmod v(p). \tag{2}$$

In the case where $v(p) = q^c$ for some prime q and integer c, we can use the following results on the structure of the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{q^c}$ to determine if the congruence (2) has a solution b. The reader may refer to [26, pp. 29, 274-276] for a proof. We denote the multiplicative order of t modulo s by $\mathrm{ord}_s(t)$ for relatively prime integers t and s.

Result 2.9. *Let q be a prime, and let b be a positive integer.*

(a) *Assume $(q, b) \neq (2, 1)$. If t is an integer satisfying $t \equiv 1 \pmod{q^b}$ and $t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q^{b+1}}$ then $\mathrm{ord}_{q^c}(t) = q^{c-b}$ for all $c \geq b$.*

(b) *Let s and t be integers coprime to q such that $\mathrm{ord}_{q^c}(s) = \mathrm{ord}_{q^c}(t)$ is a power of q. Furthermore, assume $s \equiv t \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ if $q = 2$. Then s and t generate the same subgroup of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}^*_{q^c}$.*

Definition 2.10. Let p be a prime and v be a positive integer. If there is an integer j with $p^j \equiv -1 \bmod v(p)$, then p is called **self-conjugate** modulo v. A composite integer n is called self-conjugate modulo v if every prime divisor of n has this property.

Result 2.11. *Let q and p be distinct primes where q is odd. If $\mathrm{ord}_q(p)$ is even, then p is self-conjugate modulo q^c for any positive integer c.*

Proof. Using Result 2.9, we deduce that $\mathrm{ord}_{q^c}(p) = \mathrm{ord}_q(p)q^{c-b}$ for some integer b. Given that $\mathrm{ord}_q(p)$ is even, we have $\mathrm{ord}_{q^c}(p)$ is even. Since q is odd, the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}^*_{q^c}$ is cyclic. The order of the subgroup generated by p is $\mathrm{ord}_{q^c}(p)$ which we have shown is even. Hence, the cyclic subgroup contains the element of order 2 which is -1. Thus, there is an integer f such that $p^f \equiv -1 \bmod q^c$. □

Result 2.12. *Let q and p be distinct primes where q is odd and $\mathrm{ord}_q(p)$ is even. If $|X|^2 = p^f$ for $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{q^c}]$ and some positive integers c, f, then f is even.*

Proof. Since v and p are coprime, then (p) will factor into $g = \frac{\varphi(v)}{\mathrm{ord}_v(p)}$ distinct prime ideals π_i. That is $(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} \pi_i$. Then the ideal (X) is a product of powers of π_i, say $(X) = \pi_{i_1}^{f_{i_1}} \dots \pi_{i_k}^{f_{i_k}}$. From Result 2.11, we have p is self-conjugate modulo q^c. Now it follows from Result 2.8 that all prime ideals dividing p are invariant under complex conjugation. Hence, $(\overline{X}) = (X)$. Consequently, $(X\overline{X}) = (p^f)$ gives $\pi_{i_1}^{2f_{i_1}} \dots \pi_{i_k}^{2f_{i_k}} = (\pi_1 \dots \pi_g)^f$. It follows that f is even. □

Result 2.13 (Turyn [44]). *Assume that $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$ satisfies*

$$X\overline{X} \equiv 0 \bmod t^{2b}$$

where b, t are positive integers, and t is self-conjugate modulo v. Then

$$X \equiv 0 \bmod t^b.$$

The reader may refer to [29, Thm. 2.2] for the proof of the following result. Let C_v denote the cyclic group of order v. For a divisor u of v, we always view C_u as a subgroup of C_v.

Result 2.14. *Let χ be a character of C_v of order v. Then the kernel of χ on $\mathbb{Z}[C_v]$ is*

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r} C_{p_i} X_i : X_i \in \mathbb{Z}[C_v] \right\}$$

where $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are the distinct prime divisors of v.

The following is due to Ma [33].

Result 2.15. *Let p be a prime and let G be a finite abelian group with a cyclic Sylow p-subgroup. If $Y \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ satisfies $\chi(Y) \equiv 0$ mod p^a for all non-trivial characters χ of G, then there exist $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ such that*

$$Y = p^a X_1 + P X_2,$$

where P is the unique subgroup of order p of G.

The next result follows from a lemma given in [19, p. 53], which is an extension of a result of Lal, McFarland, and Odoni [28].

Result 2.16. *Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ be a prime, let a, b be positive integers, $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/p^a)$. If $|X|^2 + |Y|^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2b}}$ for $X, Y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, then $X \equiv 0 \pmod{p^b}$ and $Y \equiv 0 \pmod{p^b}$.*

An element X of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$ can be expressed uniquely in terms of an integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_v)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The following result describes the behavior of the coefficients of X in its basis representation. See [37, Lem. 2.4] for a proof.

Result 2.17. *Let $u = \prod_{i=1}^{\delta(u)} q_i^{a_i}$ be the prime power decomposition of u. Then*

$$B = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{\delta(u)} \zeta_{q_i}^{k_i} \zeta_{q_i^{a_i}}^{l_i} : 0 \le k_i \le q_i - 2, 0 \le l_i \le q_i^{a_i - 1} - 1 \right\}$$

is an integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_u)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Suppose $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$ has the form:

$$X = \sum_{j=0}^{u-1} b_j \zeta_u^j,$$

where $b_0, \ldots, b_{u-1}$ are integers with $|b_j| \leq C$ for some constant C. Then, if we express X in terms of the integral basis B, we will have

$$X = \sum_{x \in B} c_x x$$

where the integers c_x satisfy $|c_x| \leq 2^{\delta(u)} C$ for all $x \in B$.

Note that throughout this dissertation, we use the integral basis B as defined in the result above. In some cases, we can use the following $\mathcal{M}$-function to derive the relations between coefficients of X in its basis representation.

Definition 2.18. Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$. Define

$$\mathcal{M}(X) = \frac{1}{\varphi(v)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_v)/\mathbb{Q})} (X\overline{X})^{\sigma}.$$

The following result is due to Cassels [13].

Result 2.19. *Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$. Let p be a prime divisor of v, and write $v = p^a v'$ with $\gcd(p, v') = 1$. Write $X = \sum_{i=0}^{p^{a-1}-1} X_i \zeta_{p^a}^i$ with $X_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pv'}]$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{p^{a-1}-1} \mathcal{M}(X_i).$$

Corollary 2.20. *Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c}]$ such that $|X|^2 = n$. Let $B = \left\{1, \zeta_{2^c}, \ldots, \zeta_{2^c}^{2^{c-1}-1}\right\}$. Write $X = \sum_{x \in B} c_x x$, where c_x is an integer for each $x \in B$. Then, $|c_x| \leq \sqrt{n}$ for each $x \in B$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{M}(X) = n$ and $\mathcal{M}(c_x) = {c_x}^2$ for each $x \in B$. By Result 2.19, we have

$$n = \sum_{x \in B} {c_x}^2.$$

Thus, $|c_x| \leq \sqrt{n}$. □

The following notations and definitions are required in order to use the field descent method [37]. For a prime p and a positive integer t, let $\nu_p(t)$ be defined by $p^{\nu_p(t)} || t$, i.e., $p^{\nu_p(t)}$ is the highest power of p dividing t. By $\mathcal{D}(t)$ we denote the set of prime divisors of t.

Definition 2.21. Let v, n be integers greater than 1. For $q \in \mathcal{D}(n)$, let

$$\mu_q = \begin{cases} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{D}(v) \setminus \{q\}} p & \text{if } v \text{ is odd or } q = 2, \\ 4 \prod_{p \in \mathcal{D}(v) \setminus \{2,q\}} p & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} b(2,v,n) &= \max_{q\in\mathcal{D}(n)\setminus\{2\}} \left\{\nu_2(q^2-1)+\nu_2(\mathrm{ord}_{\mu_q}(q))-1\right\} \text{ and} \\ b(r,v,n) &= \max_{q\in\mathcal{D}(n)\setminus\{r\}} \left\{\nu_r(q^{r-1}-1)+\nu_r(\mathrm{ord}_{\mu_q}(q))\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for primes $r > 2$ with the convention that $b(2,v,n) = 2$ if $\mathcal{D}(n) = \{2\}$ and $b(r,v,n) = 1$ if $\mathcal{D}(n) = \{r\}$. We define

$$F(v,n) = \gcd\left(v, \prod_{p\in\mathcal{D}(v)} p^{b(p,v,n)}\right).$$

Note that we predefined an empty product as the integer 1. For an example, if $v = 128$ and $n = 49$, we have $\mu_7 = 4$.

The following result was proved in [38, Thm. 2.2.8].

Result 2.22. *Assume $X\overline{X} = n$ for $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$ where n and v are positive integers. Then*

$$X\zeta_v^j \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{F(v,n)}]$$

for some integer j.

The following is an important consequence of 2.22.

Result 2.23 (F-bound)**.** *Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]$ be of the form*

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} a_i\zeta_v^i$$

with $0 \le a_i \le C$ for some constant C and assume that $n = X\overline{X}$ is an integer. Then

$$n \le \frac{C^2F(v,n)^2}{4\varphi(F(v,n))}.$$

Remark 2.24. In Result 2.23, if $|a_i| \le C$, then

$$n \le \frac{C^2F(v,n)^2}{\varphi(F(v,n))}.$$

Proof. Note that $\sum_{i=0}^{v-1}\zeta_v^i = 0$. Hence, we have $X = X + C\sum_{i=0}^{v-1}\zeta_v^i = \sum_{i=0}^{v-1}(a_i+C)\zeta_v^i$ with $0 \le a_i + C \le 2C$. Now the assertion follows from Result 2.23. □

In Subsection 4.3, we shall use the concept of rational idempotents to derive the structure of group invariant matrices. The following definition and result describe the fundamentals of rational idempotent decomposition. The reader may refer to [21] or [46] for details.

Definition 2.25. Let v be an integer and D be its set of divisors. For each $w \in D$, we define $[e_w] \in \mathbb{Q}[C_v]$ as follows:
If $w = p^j$, where p is prime, then let b be the highest integer such that p^b divides v. Define

$$[e_{p^j}] = \begin{cases} \frac{C_{p^b}}{p^b} & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \frac{pC_{p^{b-j}} - C_{p^{b-j+1}}}{p^{b-j+1}} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq b. \end{cases}$$

If w is a composite integer where $w = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{j_i}$. Define $[e_w] = \prod_{i=1}^{r} [e_{p_i^{j_i}}]$. The set $\{[e_w] : w \in D\}$ is called the set of rational idempotents of C_v.

Result 2.26. *Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[C_v]$. For each divisor w of v, let $\alpha_w \in \mathbb{Z}[C_v]$ be such that $\chi(\alpha_w) = \chi(X)$ for every character χ of C_v of order w. Then*

$$X = \sum_{w \in D} \alpha_w [e_w]$$

where $[e_w]$ is the rational idempotent as described in Definition 2.25.

3. Multiplier Theorems

In this section, we present our new generalization of multiplier theorems.

Definition 3.1. Let G be an abelian group of order v and $D \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. An integer t with $\gcd(t, v) = 1$ is called a **multiplier** of D if

$$D^{(t)} = Dg$$

for some $g \in G$.

The following classical multiplier theorem is due to McFarland, see [34, Thm. 9.1].

Result 3.2 (McFarland)**.** *Let G be a finite abelian group of order v and exponent e. Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ such that*

$$DD^{(-1)} \equiv n \bmod G$$

where $\gcd(v, n) = 1$. Let

$$n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$$

where the p_i's are distinct primes. Let t be an integer with $\gcd(v, t) = 1$ and suppose there are integers $f_1, \cdots f_s$ such that

$$t \equiv p_1^{f_1} \equiv \ldots \equiv p_s^{f_s} \bmod e.$$

Then t is a multiplier of D. Furthermore, D has a translate $D' = Dg$, $g \in G$, such that

$$D'^{(t)} = D'.$$

Multipliers were first introduced for the studies of difference sets. A unification of all known multiplier theorems including their generalization to other

difference set families is given by Arasu and Xiang [10]. Arasu and Seberry [9] were the first to notice its applications to the studies of circulant weighing matrices. Notice that all the above results require that v and n are coprime. Arasu and Ma [7] extended the concept of multipliers to cyclotomic group rings $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta][G]$ where ζ is a suitable complex root of unity. Using this concept, they derived a generalization of Result 3.2 which helps to tackle cases where $\gcd(v, n) > 1$. Their result only covers cyclic groups. We will generalize this result to abelian groups and give a simpler proof.

We need the following notations and definitions. Let u be a positive integer and G be a finite abelian group. The group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][G]$ is defined similarly as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, with the coefficient ring $\mathbb{Z}$ replaced by $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$. Every $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$ can be written as

$$X = \sum_{g \in G} X_g g$$

with $X_g \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$. Let t be an integer coprime to $u|G|$ and $\sigma_t \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_u)/\mathbb{Q})$. We define

$$X^{(t)} = \sum_{g \in G} X_g^{\sigma_t} g^t.$$

Note that $X^{(-1)} = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{X}_g g^{-1}$, where the bar denotes complex conjugation. An element $\pm\zeta_u^e gX$ for some $g \in G$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ is called a **translate** of X. Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$. We say that X and Y are **equivalent** if there are $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_u)/\mathbb{Q})$ and a root of unity η with $Y = \eta X^\sigma$. Now we are ready to state the definition of multipliers over cyclotomic group rings.

Definition 3.3. Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][G]$ where G is an abelian group and u is a positive integer. An integer t with $\gcd(t, u|G|) = 1$ is called a **multiplier** of X if

$$X^{(t)} = \pm\zeta_u^e gX$$

for some $g \in G$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][G]$ where G is an abelian group and u is a positive integer. If $XX^{(-1)} = 1$, then $X = \pm\zeta_u^e g$ for some $g \in G$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Write $X = \sum_{g \in G} X_g g$ with $X_g \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$. From $XX^{(-1)} = 1$ we get

$$\sum_{g \in G} |X_g|^2 = 1.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{g \in G} |X_g^\sigma|^2 = \left(\sum_{g \in G} |X_g|^2 \right)^\sigma = 1^\sigma = 1$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_u)/\mathbb{Q})$. Hence $|X_g^\sigma| \leq 1$ for all $g \in G$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_u)/\mathbb{Q})$. Now Result 2.7 implies that there is $g \in G$ such that $X_g = \pm\zeta_u^e$ for some integer e and $X_k = 0$ for all $k \neq g$. □

The following is a partial generalization of Theorem 3.3 in [7]. Note that it contains Result 3.2 as a special case.

Theorem 3.5 (Generalized Multiplier Theorem)**.** *Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][G]$ where G is an abelian group and u is a positive integer. Let $v = \mathrm{lcm}(u, \exp(G))$. Suppose that*

$$XX^{(-1)} = n, \tag{3}$$

where n is a positive integer with $\gcd(n, |G|) = 1$. Suppose t is an integer fixes all prime ideals above n in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_v)$. Then t is a multiplier of X.

Proof. Write $F = X^{(t)}X^{(-1)}$. Let χ be any character of G. Then $|\chi(X)|^2 = n$ by (3). Note that $\chi(X^{(t)}) = \chi(X)^{\sigma_t}$. Since σ_t fixes all prime ideals above n in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_v)$, we conclude that $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]\chi(X^{(t)})$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_v]\chi(X)$ have the same prime ideal factorization. Since $\chi(X)\overline{\chi(X)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, we conclude $\chi(F) = \chi(X^{(t)})\overline{\chi(X)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Since $(n, |G|) = 1$, Result 2.5 implies $F \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, say $F = nE$ with $E \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][G]$. Then $|\chi(E)|^2 = 1$ for all characters χ of G. Hence $EE^{(-1)} = 1$ by the Result 2.5 and thus $E = \pm\zeta_u^e g$ for some integer e and $g \in G$ by Lemma 3.4.

We conclude

$$\pm\zeta_u^e gnX = EnX = FX = X^{(t)}X^{(-1)}X = X^{(t)}n.$$

This implies $X^{(t)} = \pm\zeta_u^e gX$. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][G]$ where G is an abelian group and u is a positive integer. Let $v = \mathrm{lcm}(u, \exp(G))$. Suppose t is a multiplier of X, i.e., $X^{(t)} = \pm\zeta_u^e gX$ for some $g \in G$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(a) *If $\gcd(t-1, u) = 1$, then we can replace X by a translate Y so that $Y^{(t)} = \pm gY$.*

(b) *If $\gcd(t-1, \exp(G)) = 1$, then we can replace X by a translate Y so that $Y^{(t)} = \pm\zeta_u^e Y$.*

(c) *If $\gcd(t-1, v) = 1$, then we can replace X by a translate Y so that $Y^{(t)} = \pm Y$.*

Proof. If $\gcd(t-1, u) = 1$, then let $Y = \zeta_u^f X$,where f is an integer such that $(1-t)f \equiv e \bmod u$. We have $Y^{(t)} = \pm gY$. If $\gcd(t-1, \exp(G)) = 1$, then let $Y = hX$ where $h \in G$ satisfies $h^{t-1} = g^{-1}$. We get $Y^{(t)} = \pm\zeta_u^e Y$. If $\gcd(t-1, v) = 1$, then we let $Y = \zeta_u^f hX$. We get $Y^{(t)} = \pm Y$. □

Corollary 3.7. *Let p be an odd prime and u be a positive integer coprime to p. Let V be an abelian p-group of exponent p^d. Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][V]$ such that $X\overline{X} = k^2$ for some positive integer k coprime to p. Suppose t is a multiplier of X where $t \equiv 1 \bmod u$. Then we can replace X by a translate so that $X^{(t)} = X$.*

Proof. Since $t-1 \equiv 0 \bmod u$ and $\gcd(u, p) = 1$, we deduce that $\gcd(t-1, p) = 1$. It follows from 3.6 that we can replace X by a translate of X such that

$$X^{(t)} = zX$$

where $z = \epsilon\zeta_u^e$ for some $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and e is an integer. Write X as $\sum_{\beta\in V} X_\beta \beta$ where $X_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$. Then, we get

$$\sum_{\beta\in V} X_\beta^{(t)} \beta^t = z \sum_{\beta\in V} X_\beta \beta.$$

By comparing the coefficients of elements in V on both sides of the above equation, we get

$$X_\beta^{(t)} = z X_{\beta^t}$$

for all $\beta \in V$. Note that $t \equiv 1 \bmod u$ implies $X_\beta^{(t)} = X_\beta$. We conclude

$$X_\beta = z X_{\beta^t} \tag{4}$$

for all $\beta \in V$.

Claim: z is a w-th root of unity where $w := \mathrm{ord}_p(t)$.
Let $s := \mathrm{ord}_{p^d}(t)$. Then by Result 2.9, s is divisible by w. Using (4), we have

$$X_\beta = z^s X_{\beta^{t^s}} = z^s X_\beta$$

for all $\beta \in V$. This implies either $z^s = 1$ or $X_\beta = 0$ for all $\beta \in V$. The latter is impossible for otherwise $X = 0$. Hence, $z^s = 1$. That is z is a s root of unity. Since $\gcd(p, 2u) = 1$, we deduce $z^w = 1$.

Let χ_0 be the trivial character of V. We have $\chi_0(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$ and $|\chi_0(X)|^2 = k^2$. Consider the orbit of $\beta \mapsto \beta^t$ on V. Let $\{D_0, \ldots, D_L\}$ denote the set of all orbits where $D_0 = \{1\}$. Then relation (4) implies

$$\chi_0(X) = X_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{L} Y_i(1 + z + z^2 + \ldots + z^{|D_i|-1}) \tag{5}$$

where $Y_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, L$. Note that for all $i > 0$, $|D_i| = \mathrm{ord}_{p^j}(t)$ for some integer j. It follows from 2.9 that $|D_i|$ is divisible by w for all $i > 0$. We see that there is one orbit of size 1 and the rest of the orbits have size divisible by w.

Set $\beta = 1$ (the identity element of V) in (4), we have

$$X_1 = z X_1.$$

Hence, either $z = 1$ or $X_1 = 0$. Suppose $z \neq 1$. Then $X_1 = 0$. Since z is a w-th root of unity and w dividing $|D_i|$ for $i > 0$, we have $1 + z + z^2 + \ldots + z^{|D_i|-1} = 0$. Hence from (5), we get $\chi_0(X) = X_1 = 0$. This is impossible since $|\chi_0(X)|^2 = k^2$. Hence, $z = 1$. □

4. Results

We divide our results into three subsections based on the different approaches employed: the field descent method, the generalized multiplier theorems, and the rational idempotent/Weil number approach.

4.1. Field Descent Method

In the following, we apply F-bound in Result 2.23 to give an upper bound on the weight of a group invariant weighing matrix.

Corollary 4.1. *Let G be an abelian group of order v. Let w be the exponent of G and $h := v/w$. If D is a G-invariant $IW_a(v,n)$, then*

$$n \leq \frac{a^2h^2F(w,n)^2}{\varphi(F(w,n))}.$$

Proof. Let H be a subgroup of G of order h such that G/H is cyclic. Write $G = C_w \times H$ and let g be a generator of C_w. Write $D = \sum_{i=0}^{w-1}\sum_{h\in H} a_{i,h}g^ih$, where $|a_{i,h}| \leq a$. Let χ be a character of G of order w which is trivial on H. Then $\chi(D) = \sum_{i=0}^{w-1} b_i\zeta_u^i$ where $|b_i| \leq ah$. By Result 2.6, $|\chi(D)|^2 = n$. Now the assertion is proved using F-bound (Remark 2.24). □

This result alone is enough to rule out some infinite families of group invariant weighing matrices. In particular, we settle the following open cases from Strassler's table and the table of group invariant weighing matrices in [2].

Theorem 4.2. *$CW(v,n)$ do not exist for all parameters (v,n) listed in Table 1. In the table, c, d, e and f are any non-negative integers. In addition, let v, w and n be as listed in Table 2. Then G-invariant $IW(v,n)$ does not exist for any abelian group G where its order is v and its exponent is divisible by w.*

Proof. We show the non-existence of $CW(3^c7^d, 49^e)$ for all non-negative integers c, d, and e. The rest of the cases in Table 1 can be handled similarly.

Let $v = 3^c7^d$ and $n = 49^e$. Using Definition 2.21, we find $\mu_7 = 3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} b(3,v,n) &= \nu_3(7^2-1) + \nu_3(\mathrm{ord}_3(7)) = 1+0 = 1, \\ b(7,v,n) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $F(v,n)$ divides 21. By Corollary 4.1, if $CW(v,n)$ exists, then

$$n \leq 21^2/\varphi(21) < 37.$$

But by assumption, the value of n is a multiple of 49, which is impossible. Hence, a $CW(v,n)$ does not exist. □

Table 1: $CW(v,n)$s in violation of Corollary 4.1

$CW(v,n)$	$F(v,n)$	$CW(v,n)$
$(2^c, 7^{2e+2})$	2^4	$(128,49)$
$(3.7^d, 2^{2e+6})$	3.7	$(147,64)$
$(3^c13^d, 3^{2f+4})$	3.13	$(117,81)$
$(2^c5^d, 2^{2e+2}5^{2f+2})$	$2^2.5$	$(160,100)$
$(2^c11^d, 2^{2e+2}5^{2f+2})$	$2^2.11$	$(176,100)$
$(2^c3^d, 2^{2e+2}5^{2f+2})$	$2^3.3$	$(192,100)$

Note that the last column of the Table 1 shows the particular values of v and n which correspond to some previously open cases in Strassler's table. The second column of Table 1 showcases the F-value for each case.

Table 2: $IW(v,n)$s in violation of Corollary 4.1

$IW(v,n)$	w
$(2^c, 2^{2e+6})$	2^{c-1}
$(3^c, 7^{2e+2})$	3^{c-1}

Next we utilize another important concept of the field descent method: the decomposition of group ring elements into two parts, one part corresponding to the subfield given by the field descent and a second part corresponding to the kernel of a map from the integral group ring to a group ring with cyclotomic integers as coefficients. See [32, Thm. A] for details. The following non-existence result relies upon such a decomposition.

Theorem 4.3. *Let a, b, e, w be positive integers where w is odd and let p be an odd prime with $\gcd(p,w)=1$. Suppose that $\gcd(p-1,w)=1$ or that $\mathrm{ord}_s(p)$ is even for every prime divisor s of $\gcd(p-1,w)$. If a proper $ICW_a(p^b w, p^{2e})$ exists, then $p \leq 4a$ and an $ICW_{2a}(w,p^{2e})$ exists.*

Proof. Write $v = p^b w$ and let D be an $ICW_a(v,p^{2e})$. Let t be a primitive root mod p and let γ be generator of C_{p^b}. By [32, Thm. 3.4], there is $h \in C_v$ such that

$$Dh = D_w \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left((-1)^\ell g\right)^i \gamma^{p^{b-1}t^i} + YC_p \tag{6}$$

where $D_w \in \mathbb{Z}[C_w]$, $\ell \in \{0,1\}$, $Y \in \mathbb{Z}[C_v]$, and g is an element of C_w of order dividing $p-1$.

We first show $\ell = 0$. Suppose $\ell = 1$. Let χ be character of C_v with $\chi(\gamma^{p^{b-1}}) = \zeta_p$ which is trivial on C_w. Then $\chi(Dh) = \chi(D_w)\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^i\zeta_p^{t^i}$. Note that $|\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^i\zeta_p^{t^i}|^2 = p$ by [25, Prop. 8.2.2, p. 92]. As $|\chi(D)|^2 = p^{2e}$, we conclude $|\chi(D_w)|^2 = p^{2e-1}$, which is impossible, as $\chi(D_w)$ is an integer. This proves $\ell = 0$.

Next, we show $g = 1$. Suppose $g \neq 1$. As the order of g divides $p-1$, there is a prime divisor s of $\gcd(p-1,w)$ which divides the order of g. Furthermore, $\mathrm{ord}_s(p)$ is even by assumption. Let τ be a character of C_v with $\tau(\gamma^{p^{b-1}}) = \zeta_p$ and $\tau(g) = \zeta_s$ such that the order of τ is $p^b s^m$ for some positive integer m. Then $\tau(Dh) = \tau(D_w)\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\zeta_s^i\zeta_p^{t^i}$ by (6), as $\ell = 0$. Note that $|\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\zeta_s^i\zeta_p^{t^i}|^2 = p$ by [25, Prop. 8.2.2, p. 92]. As $|\tau(D)|^2 = p^{2e}$, we conclude $|\tau(D_w)|^2 = p^{2e-1}$. Note that $\tau(D_w) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{s^m}]$ and $\mathrm{ord_s(p)}$ is even by assumption. Hence $2e-1$ is even by Result 2.12, a contradiction. This shows $g = 1$.

As $g = 1$ and $l = 0$, we get

$$Dh = D_w(C_p - 1) + Y(C_p) = -D_w + C_p(Y + D_w) \tag{7}$$

from (6). Suppose that $k \in C_w$ has coefficient c_1 in D_w with $|c_1| > 2a$. As Dh is an $ICW_a(v, p^{2e})$, this implies that k has a coefficient c_2 in $C_p(Y + D_w)$ with $|c_2| > a$. But then the coefficient c_3 of $k\gamma^{p^{b-1}}$ in Dh satisfies $|c_3| > a$ by (7). This contradicts the assumption that D is an $ICW_a(v, p^{2e})$. Hence all coefficients of D_w are at most $2a$ in absolute value. Let $\rho : \mathbb{Z}[C_v] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^b}]\mathbb{Z}[C_w]$ be the homomorphism defined by $\rho(k) = k$ for $k \in C_w$ and $\rho(\gamma) = \zeta_{p^b}$. Then $\rho(Dh) = -D_w$ by (7). As $\rho(Dh)\rho(Dh)^{(-1)} = p^{2e}$, this implies that D_w is an $ICW_{2a}(w, p^{2e})$.

It remains to show $p \leq 4a$. Suppose $p > 4a$. As D is proper by assumption, we have $D \neq -D_w$. Write $Dh = \sum_{k \in C_v} d_k k$ and $D_w = \sum_{k \in C_v} e_k k$, where $e_k = 0$ if $k \notin C_w$. Note that

$$\sum_{k \in C_v} d_k^2 = \sum_{k \in C_v} e_k^2 = p^{2e}. \tag{8}$$

Suppose the coefficient of $z \in C_v$ in $C_p(Y + D_w)$ is c. This implies that the coefficient of each element of $C_p z$ in $C_p(Y + D_w)$ is equal to c. By (7), we have $d_k = -e_k + c$ for all $k \in C_p z$. Furthermore, as $D_w \in \mathbb{Z}[C_w]$, there is $x \in C_p z$ such that $e_k = 0$ for all $k \in C_p z$, $k \neq x$. Thus

$$\sum_{k \in C_p z} d_k^2 = (-e_x + c)^2 + (p-1)c^2 = pc^2 - 2ce_x + e_x^2.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k \in C_p z} (d_k^2 - e_k^2) = (pc^2 - 2ce_x + e_x^2) - e_x^2 = pc^2 - 2ce_x. \tag{9}$$

We have $|e_x| \leq 2a$, as D_w is an $ICW_{2a}(w, p^{2e})$. As $p > 4a$ by assumption, we have $|2e_x| < p$. Note that the parabola $f(t) = pt^2 - 2te_x$ attains its minimum for $t = e_x/p$ and we have $|e_x/p| < 1$. As c is an integer, we conclude

$$pc^2 - 2ce_x \geq \min\{f(0), f(-1), f(1)\} = \min\{0, p \pm 2e_x\} = 0$$

with equality if and only if $c = 0$. Hence

$$\sum_{k \in C_p z} (d_k^2 - e_k^2) \geq 0 \tag{10}$$

by (9) with equality if and only if $c = 0$.

As D is proper by assumption, we have $Dh \neq D_w$. Hence there is $z \in C_v$ such that the coefficient of z in $C_p(Y + D_w)$ is nonzero. Hence $\sum_{k \in C_v} d_k^2 > \sum_{k \in C_v} e_k^2$ by (10), which contradicts (8). Thus $p \leq 4a$. □

As a consequence, we have the following result. A weaker version of this corollary is contained in [6, Thm. 3.1].

Corollary 4.4. *Let b, e, w be positive integers where w is odd and let $p > 3$ be a prime. Suppose that $\gcd(p-1, w) = 1$ or $\mathrm{ord}_s(p)$ is even for every prime divisor s of $\gcd(p-1, w)$. Then there is no proper $CW(p^b w, p^{2e})$.*

The following corollary shows the non-existence of $CW(105, 81)$ which was previously an open case in Strassler's table.

Corollary 4.5. *There is no $CW(3^a5^b7^c, 3^{2e})$ for non-negative integers a, b, c and $e > 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, if $CW(3^a5^b7^c, 3^2e)$ exists then $ICW_2(5^b7^c, 3^{2e})$ exists. In the following, we show that $ICW_2(5^b7^c, 3^{2e})$ does not exist and hence the assertion is established.

By F-bound (2.23), if $ICW_2(5^b7^c, 3^{2e})$ exists, then $e = 2$ (given that $e > 1$) and b, c are non-zero. Let $v = 5^b7^c$ where b and c are positive integers. Suppose a proper $ICW_2(v, 81)$ exists, denoted by E. Using a result from McFarland (3.2), we have 3 is a multiplier and we may assume that

$$E^{(3)} = E. \tag{11}$$

Let g be the generator of C_v. Consider the set of orbits under the map $g \mapsto g^3$ on C_v. Then (11) implies that elements in the same orbit share a common coefficient in E. There is one orbit of size 1, one orbit of size $\mathrm{ord}_{5^i}(3) = 4.5^{i-1}$ for each $1 \le i \le b$, one orbit of size $\mathrm{ord}_{7^j}(3) = 6.7^{j-1}$ for each $1 \le j \le c$, two orbits of size $\mathrm{ord}_{5^i7^j}(3) = 12.5^{i-1}7^{j-1}$ for each pair of $1 \le i \le b$, $1 \le j \le c$. From 2.3, we deduce that elements in orbits of size greater than 81 should have coefficients 0 in E. Furthermore, the support of E can not contain more than one orbit of size 60. Also, we may replace E by $-E$ if necessary and assume that the sum of its coefficients equals 9. As a result, we derive the following equations.

$$9 = a_1 + 4a_2 + 6a_3 + 12a_4 + 12a_5 + 20a_6 + 42a_7 + 60a_8, \tag{12}$$

$$81 = a_1^2 + 4a_2^2 + 6a_3^2 + 12a_4^2 + 12a_5^2 + 20a_6^2 + 42a_7^2 + 60a_8^2, \tag{13}$$

where $|a_1| = 1$, $|a_5|, |a_7|, |a_8| \le 1$, and $|a_i| \le 2$ for other values of i. It can be verified that the only solution to (12) and (13) is $a_1 = 1$, $a_4 = -2$, $a_5 = 1$, $a_6 = 1$ and $a_i = 0$ for other values of i. Hence, the support of E contains only elements of order 1, 25 and 35. Since E is proper by assumption, we must have $v = 5^27$. Now $E = 1 - 2D_1 + D_2 + D_3$ where D_1 and D_2 are orbits of size 12 and D_3 is the orbit of size 20. Let χ be a character of C_v of order v. We have $\chi(D_1) = \frac{1+\sqrt{-35}}{2}$, $\chi(D_2) = \frac{1-\sqrt{-35}}{2}$ and $\chi(D_3) = 0$. We compute $|\chi(E)|^2 = 79$. But by definition, E is an $ICW_2(v, 81)$. Hence, we should have $|\chi(E)|^2 = 81$. Contradiction. □

4.2. Generalized Multiplier Method

We prove the non-existence of some infinite families of group invariant weighing matrices using the generalized multiplier theorems presented in Section 3. In particular, we solve 9 open cases in Strassler's table, which are $CW(v, n)$ for the following pairs (v, n): $(138, 36)$, $(184, 36)$, $(112, 64)$, $(184, 64)$, $(184, 81)$, $(133, 100)$, $(154, 100)$, $(158, 100)$, and $(190, 100)$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let a, d, k, u be positive integers and let p be an odd prime. Let G be an abelian group of exponent up^d. If the following conditions hold, then there is no G-invariant $IW_a(|G|, k^2)$.*

(a) *u, p and k are pairwise coprime.*

(b) *k is self-conjugate modulo u.*

(c) *Let $k = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$ be the prime power decomposition of k. There exists integers t, $f_1, \ldots, f_s$ satisfying the following conditions.*

  (i) $t \equiv p_1^{f_1} \equiv \cdots \equiv p_s^{f_s} \bmod up^d$.

  (ii) $a < k < \mathrm{ord}_p(t) - a$.

Proof. Write $G = U \times V$, where V is the Sylow p-subgroup of G. Assume there exists a G-invariant $IW_a(|G|, k^2)$, denoted by E. Let $\rho : G \to U$ be a projection such that $\rho(g) = g$ for all $g \in U$ and $\rho(g) = 1$ for all $g \in V$. Then $\rho(E)$ is an U-invariant $IW_{a|V|}(|U|, k^2)$.

We first show that $\rho(E) = k$, up to equivalent. Let $\chi \in \hat{U}$ and $X = \chi(\rho(E))$. By Result 2.6, $X\overline{X} = k^2$. Note that $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$. Since k is self-conjugate modulo u, $X \equiv 0 \bmod k$ by 2.13. This holds for all $\chi \in \hat{U}$. Since k is coprime with u, we conclude from 2.5 that $\rho(E) \equiv 0 \bmod k$. Thus, $\rho(E) = \pm kg$ for some $g \in U$. Now, we may replace E by a translate and assume that

$$\rho(E) = k.$$

Write

$$E = \sum_{\alpha \in U} \sum_{\beta \in V} a_{\alpha,\beta} \alpha\beta$$

where $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ are integers. By definition, $|a_{\alpha,\beta}| \leq a$. Now, $\rho(E) = k$ implies that

$$\sum_{\beta \in V} a_{1,\beta} = k. \tag{14}$$

Note that we abuse notation and denote the identity element of a group by 1.

We apply McFarland's result i.e. Result 3.2 to E. We may replace E by a translate and assume

$$E^{(t)} = E. \tag{15}$$

Then (15) becomes

$$\sum_{\alpha \in U} \sum_{\beta \in V} a_{\alpha,\beta} \alpha^t \beta^t = \sum_{\alpha \in U} \sum_{\beta \in V} a_{\alpha,\beta} \alpha\beta.$$

By comparing the coefficients of elements in G on both sides of the equation, we get

$$a_{\alpha,\beta} = a_{\alpha^t,\beta^t}$$

for all $\alpha \in U$ and $\beta \in V$. In particular, we have

$$a_{1,\beta} = a_{1,\beta^t}$$

for all $\beta \in V$.

That is, $a_{1,\beta} = a_{1,\beta'}$ if β and β' are in the same orbit of $t : g \mapsto g^t$ on V. Let $\{D_0, \ldots, D_L\}$ denote the set of all orbits under the map t where $D_0 = \{1\}$. We then rewrite (14) as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{L} a_j |D_j| = k.$$

By Result 2.9, we have for all $j \geq 1$,

$$|D_j| = wp^e$$

for some $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $w = \mathrm{ord}_p(t)$. This implies $|D_j|$ is divisible by w for all $j \geq 1$. Hence, there is an integer M such that

$$a_0 + wM = k.$$

Note that $k > a$ by assumption and $|a_0| \leq a$ by definition. We deduce M is non-zero and thus w divides $k - a_0$. That is

$$w \leq |k - a_0| \leq k + a.$$

This contradicts the original assumption where $w > k + a$. Hence, no G- invariant $IW_a(|G|, k^2)$ exists. □

In the following corollary, we let k be an integer with at most two distinct prime divisors. For such k, we can replace the congruences in Theorem 4.6 (c)(i) with an explicit formulation using only the orders of the prime divisors of k modulo some integers.

Corollary 4.7. *Let a, d, m, n, u be positive integers, and let p, q, r be distinct primes where p is odd. Let*

$$w = \gcd\left(\frac{\mathrm{ord}_p(q)}{\gcd(\mathrm{ord}_u(q), \mathrm{ord}_p(q))}, \frac{\mathrm{ord}_p(r)}{\gcd(\mathrm{ord}_u(r), \mathrm{ord}_p(r))}\right).$$

Let H be an abelian group of exponent up^d. Let G be an abelian group such that G/N is isomorphic to H, where N is a subgroup of G of order a. If the following conditions hold, then there is no G-invariant $IW(a|H|, k^2)$, where $k = r^m q^n$.

(a) *u, p, q and r are pairwise coprime.*

(b) *k is self-conjugate modulo u.*

(c) *$a < k < w - a$.*

Proof. Let t be an integer such that $t \equiv 1 \bmod u$ and $\mathrm{ord}_p(t) = w$. Then $q^{\mathrm{ord}_u(q)}$ and $r^{\mathrm{ord}_u(r)}$ both generate subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^*_{up^d}$ containing t. Hence, there exists integer f_1 and f_2 such that

$$t \equiv q^{f_1} \equiv r^{f_2} \bmod up^d.$$

Now, it follows from Theorem 4.6 that a H-invariant $IW_a(|H|, k^2)$ does not exist. Hence, a G-invariant $IW(a|H|, k^2)$ does not exist. □

Theorem 4.6 rules out the existence of some infinite families of circulant weighing matrices. In particular, in the following corollary, we rule out one infinite family corresponding to a previously open case in Strassler's table, $CW(158, 100)$.

Corollary 4.8. *Let k be a product of powers of 2 and 5 and $c \geq 0$. Then there is no $CW(2^c 79^d, k^2)$ for any $d \geq 1$, $k > 25$ and $2^c < k < 39 - 2^c$.*

Proof. Using Definition 2.21, we have that $F(2^c 79^d, k^2)$ divides 316. Now, applying Corollary 4.1, we have $k \leq 25$ if $CW(2^c 79^d, k^2)$ exists.

The second part follows from Corollary 4.7. We set $a = 2^c$, $u = 1$, $p = 79$, $r = 2$ and $q = 5$. A direct computation shows that $\mathrm{ord}_p(r) = \mathrm{ord}_p(q) = 39$. □

The following corollary uses 4.6 to rule out some open cases in the table of group invariant weighing matrices in [2].

Corollary 4.9. *Let $d \geq 1$, p, k, c and e be as listed in the following. Let G be an abelian group of order $2^{c+e}p^d$ and exponent $2^c p^d$. Then there is no G-invariant $IW(|G|, k^2)$.*

1. *$p = 11$, $k = 3$, $0 \leq c \leq 2$ and $e = 0$.*
2. *$p = 19$, $k = 5$, $0 \leq c \leq 1$ and $e = 1$.*
3. *$p = 23$, $k = 3$, $0 \leq c \leq 2$ and $e = 2$, or $k = 9$, $0 \leq c \leq 2$ and $e = 0$, or $k = 6$, $c = 0$ and $e = 1$.*

The following theorem extends 4.6 to cases of $IW_a(v, n)$ where v and n are not coprime.

Theorem 4.10. *Let a, u, d, k be positive integers and p be odd prime. Let G be an abelian group of order $up^{d'}$ and exponent up^d. If the following conditions hold, then there is no G-invariant $IW_a(up^{d'}, k^2)$.*

(a) $\gcd(u, p) = \gcd(p, k) = 1$.

(b) *There exists an integer t such that*

   (i) *σ_t fixes all prime ideal divisors of k in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{up^d}]$,*

   (ii) *$t \equiv 1 \bmod u$,*

   (iii) *Let $w := \mathrm{ord}_p(t)$. Either k is self-conjugate modulo u and $2^{\delta(u)}a < k < w - 2^{\delta(u)}a$ or $\frac{ua}{\sqrt{\varphi(u)}} < k < \frac{w}{2\sqrt{\varphi(u)}}$.*

Note: The reader may refer to Result 2.8 for the necessary conditions required for (b)(i) *to be satisfied.*

Proof. Write $G = U \times V$ where V is the Sylow p-subgroup of G. Assume there exists a G-invariant $IW_a(|G|, k^2)$, denoted by E. Let χ be a character of U of order u. Define a homomorphism $\kappa : \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][V]$, such that $\kappa(\alpha) = \chi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in U$ and $\kappa(\beta) = \beta$ for all $\beta \in V$. Let $X = \kappa(E)$. Then $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][V]$ and $X\overline{X} = k^2$. By Theorem 3.5, t is a multiplier of X. By 3.7, we have $X^t = X$. Write X as $\sum_{\beta \in V} X_\beta \beta$ where $X_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$. Consider the orbit of $\beta \mapsto \beta^t$ on V. Let $\{D_0, \ldots, D_L\}$ denote the set of all orbits where $D_0 = \{1\}$. Since $X^{(t)} = X$, we see that $X_\beta = X_{\beta'}$ if β and β' are in the same orbit. Note that for all $i > 0$, the size of orbit D_i is $\mathrm{ord}_{p^e}(t)$ for some integer e. It follows from 2.9 that the size of orbit D_i is divisible by w for all $i > 0$. Consequently, we have

$$\chi_0(X) = X_1 + wY \tag{16}$$

for some $Y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$.

Let $u = \prod_{i=1}^{\delta(u)} q_i^{a_i}$ be the prime power decomposition of u. We use the integral basis B of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_u)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ as defined in Result 2.17.

Claim 1: (a) Write $X_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{u-1} b_i \zeta_u^i$. Then $|b_i| \le a$.
(b) Write $X_1 = \sum_{x \in B} c_x x$. Then $|c_x| \le 2^{\delta(u)} a$ for all $x \in B$.
Claim 1(a) is true because $X_1 = \chi(E \cap U)$ and each coefficient of E is bounded by a in absolute value. Claim 1(b) follows from Claim 1(a) and Result 2.17.

Claim 2: If k is self-conjugate modulo u then $\chi_0(X) = k$.
Recall that $|\chi_0(X)|^2 = k^2$. By Turyn (Result 2.13) and Kronecker (Result 2.7), $\chi_0(X) = \pm k\eta$ for a root of unity η. Since $t \equiv 1 \bmod u$, we have $\eta^t = \eta$. Hence, we may replace X by a translate so that $\chi_0(X) = k$ is satisfied as well.

Claim 3: $Y \neq 0$ and $c_1 \neq k$.
Suppose $Y = 0$. Then $\chi_0(X) = X_1$ from (16). By Claim 1(a) and F-bound (Remark 2.24), we have $k^2 \le \frac{a^2 u^2}{\varphi(u)}$, i.e., $k \le \frac{ua}{\sqrt{\varphi(u)}}$. Thus, by assumption, k is self-conjugate modulo u and $k > 2^{\delta(u)} a$. By Claim 2, $\chi_0(X) = k$. Hence, $X_1 = k$ by assumption. Now we view X_1 in terms of the integral basis B as shown in Claim 1(b). It follows from the uniqueness of a basis representation that $c_1 = k$. This is impossible because by assumption $k > 2^{\delta(u)} a$, but by Claim 1(b), $|c_1| \le 2^{\delta(u)} a$. Hence, $c_1 \neq k$. Consequently, $Y \neq 0$.

Up to now, we have shown that $\chi_0(X) - X_1$ is a non-zero element in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u]$ which is divisible by w. By Result 2.4, $|X_1| \le k$. Hence $\sum(|\chi_0(X) - X_1|^2)^\sigma \le 4k^2\varphi(u)$ where the sum runs over $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_u)/Q)$. But this sum is divisible by w^2, so $w^2 \le 4k^2\varphi(u)$, i.e., $k \ge \frac{w}{2\sqrt{\varphi(u)}}$. Hence, by assumption, k is self-conjugate modulo u and $k < w - 2^{\delta(u)} a$. Recall that this implies $\chi_0(X) = k$, see Claim 2. Expressing all terms of $\chi_0(X)$ in their basis representations, we deduce that $k - c_1$ is divisible by w. In addition, $k - c_1 \neq 0$ by Claim 3. This implies $w \le |k - c_1| \le k + 2^{\delta(u)} a$. That is, $k \ge w - 2^{\delta(u)} a$. This contradicting the previous assumption of $k < w - 2^{\delta(u)} a$. Hence, no G-invariant $IW_a(up^{d'}, k^2)$ exists. □

As in the Corollary 4.11, we restrict ourselves to cases where u is a prime power and k has at most two distinct prime divisors. Then we can easily tell

if the assumptions in Theorem 4.10 can be satisfied by evaluating the order of the prime divisors of k modulo the prime divisors of up^d. We only deal with the case $IW(v,n)$ where $\gcd(v,n) > 1$ in the following corollary.

Corollary 4.11. *Let a, c, d, d', m, n be positive integers. Let p, q and r be distinct primes where p and q are odd. Let*

$$w = \gcd\left(\mathrm{ord}_p(q), \frac{\mathrm{ord}_p(r)}{\gcd(\mathrm{ord}_{q^c}(r), \mathrm{ord}_p(r))}\right).$$

Let H be an abelian group of order $q^c p^{d'}$ and exponent $q^c p^d$. Let G be an abelian group and N is a subgroup of G of order a such that G/N is isomorphic to H. If $\mathrm{ord}_q(r)$ is even and $2a < k < w - 2a$ or $\frac{aq^c}{\sqrt{\varphi(q^c)}} < k < \frac{w}{2\sqrt{\varphi(q^c)}}$, then there is no G-invariant $IW(aq^c p^{d'}, k^2)$ where $k = r^m q^n$.

Proof. Using a similar argument as in Corollary 4.7, we show that all conditions in Theorem 4.10 are satisfied. □

Theorem 4.10 overcomes the coprimality restriction in Theorem 4.6 and rules out some infinite families of $CW(v,k)$ where v and k are not coprime. In particular, it rules out the following infinite families of circulant weighing matrices which include two previously open cases $CW(138,36)$ and $CW(184,64)$.

Corollary 4.12. *Let $b \in \{0,1\}$. Then $CW(2^b 3^c 23^d, 36)$ and $CW(2^c 23^d, 64)$ do not exist for any c, $d \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let c and d be any positive integers. We compute $\mathrm{ord}_{23}(2) = \mathrm{ord}_{23}(3) = 11$. Let $a = 1$, $u = 2^c$, $k = 8$ and $p = 23$. Then k is self-conjugate modulo u and $\varphi(u)$ is a power of 2. Let t be an integer such that $t \equiv 3^{\varphi(u)} \bmod up^d$. Then $t \equiv 1 \bmod u$ and $\mathrm{ord}_p(t) = 11$. We see that 2 generates a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^d}^*$ containing t. Hence, σ_t fixes all prime ideal divisors of k in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta up^d]$. Now it follows from Theorem 4.10 that $CW(2^c 23^d, 64)$ does not exist. Let $a = 2^b$, $p = 23$, $q = 3$, $r = 2$ and $k = 6$. We have $\mathrm{ord}_p(r) = \mathrm{ord}_p(q) = 11$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{q^c}(r)$ divides $\varphi(u) = 2.3^{c-1}$. By Corollary 4.11, we have $w = 11$ and as a result $CW(2^b 3^c 23^d, 36)$ does not exist. □

The previous two theorems show that for some parameters v and k, if k falls into a bound, then $IW(v,k)$ can not exist. The upper bound is determined by the order of a multiplier of $IW(v,k)$. The following deals with a special case of infinite families of circulant weighing matrices which further improve the upper bound.

Theorem 4.13. *Let a, u, d, k be positive integers and p be odd prime congruent to 3 mod 4. If the following conditions hold, then there is no $ICW_a(up^d, k^2)$.*

(a) $\gcd(u,p) = \gcd(p,k) = 1$.

(b) $k > 2^{\delta(u)} a$.

(c) *If* $d > 1$, *then* $p > \frac{2(k+2^{\delta(u)}a)}{p-1} + 2^{\delta(u)+1}a$, *else* $p > 2^{\delta(u)+1}a + 1$.

(d) k *is self-conjugate modulo* u.

(e) *There exists an integer* t *such that*

  (i) σ_t *fixes all prime ideal divisors of* k *in* $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{up^d}]$.

  (ii) $t \equiv 1 \bmod u$.

  (iii) $\mathrm{ord}_p(t) = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

  (iv) $t^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \not\equiv 1 \bmod p^2$ *if* $d > 1$.

(f) *For each integer* M *that satisfies* $1 \leq |M| \leq 2^{\delta(u)+1}a$ *and* $\left|k - M\frac{p-1}{2}\right| \leq 2^{\delta(u)}a$, *we have* $M(4k - Mp)$ *is coprime with* u *and is square free.*

Proof. Let G be a cyclic group of order up^d. Let U and V be cyclic subgroup of G of order u and p^d respectively. Assume there exists an $ICW_a(up^d, k^2)$, denoted by E. Let χ be a character of U of order u. Define a homomorphism $\kappa : \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u][V]$, such that $\kappa(\alpha) = \chi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in U$ and $\kappa(\beta) = \beta$ for all $\beta \in V$. Let $X = \kappa(E)$. Then it follows from the proof of Theorem 4.10 that we can replace X by a translate so that $X^{(t)} = X$ and $\chi_0(X) = k$ where χ_0 is the trivial character of V. Consider the action $t : x \mapsto x^t$ on V. Let $w = \mathrm{ord}_p(t)$. By assumption, $w = \frac{p-1}{2}$. Note that if $d > 1$, then by assumption $t^w \not\equiv 1 \bmod p^2$. Hence, $\mathrm{ord}_{p^d}(t) = wp^{d-1}$. As a result, there are $2d+1$ orbits, one of size 1 and two of size wp^i for each $i \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$. Let $\{D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{2d}\}$ be the set of orbits such that $|D_{i+1}| \geq |D_i|$ for all i. Let B be the integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_u)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, as described in Result 2.17. We can write

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} Y_i D_i$$

where

$$Y_i = \sum_{x \in B} c_{x,i} x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_u].$$

Note that here we view D_i as group ring element. Thus, we have

$$k = \chi_0(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \sum_{x \in B} c_{x,i} x |D_i|.$$

By uniqueness of basis representation, we derive

$$k = c_{1,0} + w(c_{1,1} + c_{1,2}) + L_1, \tag{17}$$

$$0 = c_{x,0} + w(c_{x,1} + c_{x,2}) + L_x \quad \forall x \in B \setminus \{1\}, \tag{18}$$

where $L_x = \sum_{i=3}^{2d} c_{x,i} x |D_i|$ is divisible by wp for all $x \in B$.

From (18), we have w divides $|c_{x,0}|$. Note that $|c_{x,0}| \leq 2^{\delta(u)}a$ but $w = \frac{p-1}{2} > 2^{\delta(u)}a$ by assumption. Hence, we conclude that for all $x \neq 1$,

$$c_{x,0} = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$w(c_{x,1} + c_{x,2}) + L_x = 0. \tag{20}$$

Now from (20), we have p divides $|c_{x,1} + c_{x,2}|$. Note that $|c_{x,1} + c_{x,2}| \leq 2^{\delta(u)+1}a$ but $p > 2^{\delta(u)+1}a$ by assumption. Hence, we conclude that

$$c_{x,1} + c_{x,2} = L_x = 0 \quad \forall x \neq 1 \tag{21}$$

Now, from (19) and (21), we have

$$Y_0 = c_{1,0} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_1 + Y_2 = c_{1,1} + c_{1,2}.$$

Next, we will show that $L_1 = 0$. If $d = 1$, then $L_1 = 0$ by definition. Hence, suppose $d > 1$. From (17), we have p divides $|\frac{L_1}{w}| = \left|\frac{k - c_{1,0} - w(c_{1,1}+c_{1,2})}{w}\right|$. Note that by assumption

$$\left|\frac{k - c_{1,0} - w(c_{1,1} + c_{1,2})}{w}\right| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k + 2^{\delta(u)}a}{w} + 2^{\delta(u)+1}a \right\rfloor < p.$$

Hence, we conclude that $L_1 = 0$.

Now, (17) becomes

$$k = c_{1,0} + w(c_{1,1} + c_{1,2}).$$

Let $M = c_{1,1} + c_{1,2}$. Note that $M \neq 0$ for otherwise $c_{1,0} = k$. This is not possible because $|c_{1,0}| \leq 2^{\delta(u)}a$ but $k > 2^{\delta(u)}a$ by assumption. We see that M satisfies the conditions specified in (f):

$$1 \leq |M| \leq 2^{\delta(u)+1}a \quad \text{and} \quad \left|k - M\frac{p-1}{2}\right| \leq 2^{\delta(u)}a.$$

Let χ be a character of V of order p^d. Using the evaluation of Gauss sums (see [25, Thm. 1, p. 75]), we can deduce that $\chi(D_1) = \frac{-1+\sqrt{-p}}{2}$, $\chi(D_2) = \frac{-1-\sqrt{-p}}{2}$ and $\chi(D_i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 3$. Then

$$\chi(E) = Y_0 + Y_1\left(\frac{-1+\sqrt{-p}}{2}\right) + Y_2\left(\frac{-1-\sqrt{-p}}{2}\right).$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\chi(E)\overline{\chi(E)} = \left(c_{1,0} - \frac{1}{2}M\right)^2 + \frac{p}{4}(Y_1 - Y_2)^2.$$

Substituting $\chi(E)\overline{\chi(E)} = k^2$, we deduce

$$(Y_1 - Y_2)^2 = \frac{4}{p}\left(k^2 - \left(c_{1,0} - \frac{1}{2}M\right)^2\right).$$

In view of (17), we obtain

$$(Y_1 - Y_2)^2 = M(4k - pM).$$

Since $M(4k - pM)$ is coprime with u and is square free by assumption, we

conclude that $M(4k-pM)$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_u]$. In other words, $M(4k-pM)$ is not a square but $(Y_1 - Y_2)^2$ is a square. Hence, they cannot be equal, a contradiction. □

Remark 4.14. Note that the condition (d) in Theorem 4.13 implies that $\chi_0(X) = k$, up to multiplication with a root of unity. Hence, the above result still holds if we replace condition (f) with " $\chi_0(X) = \eta k$ for a root of unity η."

The following corollary shows an application of Theorem 4.13. In particular, the cases $CW(112, 64)$, $CW(133, 100)$, $CW(184, 81)$ and $CW(190, 100)$ which were previously open in Strassler's table are settled.

Corollary 4.15. *$CW(v, k^2)$ do not exist for the following v and k.*

1. *When $k = 5$, $v = 2^b5^cp^d$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $c \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$ and $p = 23, 43, 47, 59, 79, 83$.*
2. *When $k = 6$, $v = 2^b3^cp^d$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $c \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$ and $p = 23, 47, 59, 71, 83$.*
3. *When $k = 7$, $v = 2^b7^cp^d$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $c \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$ and $p = 11, 23, 31, 47, 59, 67, 79, 83$.*
4. *When $k = 8$, $v = 2^cp$, $c \geq 1$ and $p = 7, 19$.*
5. *When $k = 9$, $v = 2^b3^cp^d$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $c \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$ and $p = 23, 47, 59, 71, 83$.*
6. *When $k = 10$, $v = 2^b5^cp^d$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $c \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$ and $p = 19, 23, 47, 59, 79, 83$.*
7. *When $k = 10$, $v = 7^bp^d$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $d \geq 1$, and $p = 19, 23$.*

The following corollary uses 4.13 to rule out some open cases in the table of group invariant weighing matrices in [2].

Corollary 4.16. *Let G be an abelian group of order $2^{c+1}11^d$ and exponent 2^c11^d where $d \geq 1$ and $0 \leq c \leq 2$. Then there is no G-invariant $IW(2^{c+1}11^d, 36)$.*

We now turn our attention to a special family of $IW(v, k^2)$ of the form $v = 2^cp^d$ and $k = 2^m3^n$. For these values of v and k, we derive the following stronger version of Theorem 4.10. Specifically, we remove the self-conjugacy assumption stated in b(iii) of Theorem 4.10. Note that if $n \geq 1$, then k is not self-conjugate modulo 2^c if $c \geq 3$.

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.17. *Let m and n be positive integers and $k = 2^m3^n$. Let $Y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$ such that $|Y|^2 = k^2$. Let $B = \{1, \zeta_8, \zeta_8^2, \zeta_8^3\}$. Then there is a root of unity η such that $Y\eta = \sum_{x\in B} d_x x$ satisfies the following:*

1. *If $m > 0$, then there exists $x \in B$ such that $|d_x| > 2$.*
2. *If $m = 0$ and $n \geq 2$, then either $Y\eta = \pm k$ or $|d_x| > 2$ for all $x \in B$.*

Proof. Since $Y \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$, we find the unique prime ideal factorization of the ideal (k^2) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$ and deduce all possible values of Y so that the ideal $(Y)(\overline{Y}) = (k^2)$. In particular, we need the prime ideal factorization of the ideal (2) and (3) in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$, which are

$$(2) = (1-\zeta_8)^4 \quad \text{and} \quad (3) = (\theta)(\bar{\theta}),$$

where $\theta = 1 + \zeta_8 + \zeta_8^3$. By 2.7, there is a root of unity η such that

$$Y\eta = 2^m \theta^r \bar{\theta}^{2n-r} \tag{22}$$

where $0 \leq r \leq 2n$.

Let $n' = \min(r, 2n-r)$. Then, $Y\eta = 2^m 3^{n'} \theta^{r-n'} \bar{\theta}^{2n-r-n'}$. If $n' \geq 1$, then 3 divides $Y\eta$ and hence for all $x \in B$, $|d_x| \geq 3$. If $n' = 0$, then we are looking at $Y\eta \in \{2^m\theta^{2n}, 2^m\bar{\theta}^{2n}\}$. We compute $\theta^2 = 2(\zeta_8^3+\zeta_8)-1$ and $\bar{\theta}^2 = -2(\zeta_8^3+\zeta_8)-1$. It is easy to verify that $(\theta^2)^n$ as well as $(\bar{\theta}^2)^n$ equals to $A(\zeta_8^3+\zeta_8)+C$ for some integer A and C. Thus, $Y\eta = 2^m(A(\zeta_8^3+\zeta_8)+C)$. Let $Z = Y\eta/2^m$. Then $|Z|^2 = 3^{2n}$. Now, using $\mathcal{M}$ function defined in Definition 2.18 and Result 2.19, we see that

$$3^{2n} = \mathcal{M}(Z) = 2A^2 + C^2.$$

Since $n \geq 1$, at least one of the A or C has absolute value greater than 1 . Hence the claim is proved if $m > 0$. If $m = 0$, then by assumption, $n \geq 2$. Thus, we see that either $A = 0$ and $C = \pm k$ or both A and C have absolute value greater than 2. We have shown that either $Y\eta = \pm k$ or $|d_x| > 2$ for all $x \in B$. □

Theorem 4.18. *Let m, n, c, d be positive integers, and $p > 3$ be prime. Define*

$$w = \gcd\left(\frac{\mathrm{ord}_p(3)}{\gcd(\mathrm{ord}_p(3), \mathrm{ord}_{2^c}(3))}, \mathrm{ord}_p(2)\right).$$

Let $k = 2^m 3^n$ such that $k < w-2$ and $n \geq 2$ if $m = 0$. Let G be an abelian group of order $2^c p^{d'}$ and exponent $2^c p^d$. Then there is no G-invariant $IW(2^c p^{d'}, k^2)$.

Proof. Let t be an integer such that $t \equiv 1 \bmod 2^c$ and $\mathrm{ord}_p(t) = w$. Then, using the same argument as in the proof of 4.7, we conclude that σ_t fixes all prime ideal divisors of k in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c p^d}]$.

Write $G = U \times V$ where V is the Sylow p-subgroup of G. Assume there exists a G-invariant $IW_a(|G|, k^2)$, denoted by E. Let χ be a character of U of order 2^c. Define a homomorphism $\kappa : \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c}][V]$, such that $\kappa(\alpha) = \chi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in U$ and $\kappa(\beta) = \beta$ for all $\beta \in V$. Let $X = \kappa(E)$. Then $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c}][V]$ and $X\overline{X} = k^2$. By 3.6, we may replace X by a translate so that $X^{(t)} = X$. We remark that for any $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c}]$, since $t \equiv 1 \bmod 2^c$, we have $\eta^t = \eta$. Hence, replacing X with $X\eta$ still gives us $X^{(t)} = X$. Let χ_0 be the trivial character of V. Then $\chi_0(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c}]$ and $|\chi_0(X)|^2 = k^2$. Using Definition 2.21, we see that $F(2^c, k^2)$ divides 8 and hence by Result 2.22, we may replace X by a translate so that $\chi_0(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$.

Consider the map $t : x \mapsto x^t$ on V. Let $\{D_1, \ldots, D_L\}$ be the set of all orbits of t on V where $D_1 = \{1\}$. Now, $X^{(t)} = X$ implies that $X = \sum_{i=1}^{L} X_i D_i$ where $X_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c}]$. Hence, $\chi_0(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} X_i |D_i|$. Note that the size of every non-trivial orbits is divisible by w. Consequently, $\chi_0(X) = X_1 + wM$ for some $M \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c}]$. Let B be the integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_{2^c}]$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ as defined in Result

2.17. Write $X_1 = \sum_{x\in B} c_x x$ and $M = \sum_{x\in B} M_x x$ where c_x and M_x are integers. We have $\chi_0(X) = \sum_{x\in B}(c_x + wM_x)x$. Let $d_x = c_x + wM_x$ for each $x \in B$. Then $\sum_{x\in B} d_x x = \chi_0(X)$. Recall that $\chi_0(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]$ and $|\chi_0(X)|^2 = k^2$. By 4.17 and by replacing X with a suitable translate, we deduce that there is an x such that $|d_x| > 2$. By definition, $|c_x| \leq 2$. Hence, for this x, M_x is non-zero and w divides the non-zero element $d_x - c_x$. By 2.20, $|d_x| \leq k$. Thus, $w \leq |d_x| + |c_x| \leq k + 2$. But by assumption, $w > k + 2$. Hence, no G-invariant $IW(2^c p^{d'}, k^2)$ exists. □

Theorem 4.18 is used to rule out the following infinite families of circulant weighing matrices which include an open case $CW(184, 36)$.

Corollary 4.19. *There is no $CW(2^c 23^d, 36)$ for any $c \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $p = 23$. We compute $\mathrm{ord}_p(2) = \mathrm{ord}_p(3) = 11$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{2^c}(3)$ is a power of 2. The assertion now follows from Theorem 4.18. □

We have solved the non-existence of $CW(2^c 23^d, 36)$ and $CW(2^c 23^d, 64)$ for $c, d \geq 1$. In the following, we solve the non-existence of $CW(2^c 23^d, 81)$ for $c, d \geq 1$, which include an open case $CW(184, 81)$. It turns out that we can solve this case using similar argument as in Theorem 4.13. We exclude most of the details in the proof. The reader may refer to the proof in Theorem 4.18 and Theorem 4.13 for details.

Corollary 4.20. *There is no $CW(2^c 23^d, 81)$ for any $c \geq 0$ and $d \geq 1$.*

Proof. Assume a $CW(2^c 23^d, 81)$ exists, denoted as E. Let α and β be elements in $C_{2^c 23^d}$ of order 2^c and 23^d, respectively. Define a homomorphism $\kappa : \mathbb{Z}[C_{2^c 23^d}] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^c}][C_{23^d}]$, such that $\kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_{2^c}$ and $\kappa(\beta) = \beta$. Let $X = \kappa(E)$. Let t be an integer such that $t \equiv 3^{\mathrm{ord}_{2^c}(3)} \bmod 2^c 23^d$. Then $\mathrm{ord}_{23}(t) = 11$ and t satisfies all the conditions in 4.13. Note that 9 is not self-conjugate modulo 2^c. However, as mentioned in the Remark 4.14, if we can show that $\chi_0(X) = 9$ up to multiplication with a root of unity, then the assertion follows from Theorem 4.13.

Let B, c_x, d_x and M_x be as defined in the proof of Theorem 4.18. We see that for each $x \in B$,

$$c_x + 11M_x = d_x. \tag{23}$$

Note that by Corollary 2.20, $|d_x| \leq 9$ for all $x \in B$. By 4.17, we have either $|d_1| = 9$ and $|d_x| = 0$ for all $x \neq 1$ or $2 < |d_x| < 9$ for all $x \in B$. By definition, $|c_x| \leq 2$ for all $x \in B$. In view of (23), we deduce that $|d_1| = 9$ and $d_x = 0$ for all $x \neq 1$. That is, $\chi_0(X) = \pm 9$. □

To solve the open case $CW(154, 100)$ from Strassler's table, we use a multiplier theorem to find all solutions of $ICW_2(77, 100)$.

Theorem 4.21. *Let $E = ICW_2(77, 100)$. Let α and β be elements in C_{77} of order 7 and 11, respectively. Then*

$$E = \pm(2D_1(-\Delta + 1) + D_2(\Delta - 1))^\sigma g$$

where $D_1 = \beta+\beta^3+\beta^4+\beta^5+\beta^9$, $D_2 = \beta^2+\beta^6+\beta^7+\beta^8+\beta^{10}$, $\Delta = \alpha+\alpha^2+\alpha^4$, and σ is an automorphism of C_{77} and $g \in C_{77}$.

Proof. Define a homomorphism $\kappa : \mathbb{Z}[C_{77}] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_7][C_{11}]$, such that $\kappa(\alpha) = \zeta_7$ and $\kappa(\beta) = \beta$. Let $X = \kappa(E)$. Since $2^{16} \equiv 9 \bmod 77$ and $5^4 \equiv 9 \bmod 77$, 9 fixes all prime ideal divisors of 100 in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{77}]$. We compute $\mathrm{ord}_{77}(9) = 15$. Using 3.6, replacing E with a translate, if necessary, we may assume

$$X^{(9)} = X. \tag{24}$$

We express X as $\sum_{\substack{i\in\{1,\cdots,6\}\\ j\in\{0,\cdots,10\}}} m_{i,j}\zeta_7^i\beta^j$ where $-4 \le m_{i,j} \le 4$. From (24), we obtain

$$X = \sum_{\substack{i\in\{0,1\}\\ j\in\{0,1,2\}}} m_{i,j}\gamma_i D_j, \qquad -4 \le m_{i,j} \le 4 \text{ for all } i, j, \tag{25}$$

where $\gamma_0 = \zeta_7 + \zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^4$, $\gamma_1 = \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^5 + \zeta_7^6$, and $D_0 = 1$.

Let χ_0 be the trivial character of C_{11}. Note that $\chi_0(X) = \gamma_0(m_{0,0}+5m_{0,1}+5m_{0,2}) + \gamma_1(m_{1,0}+5m_{1,1}+5m_{1,2}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_7]$, and

$$\chi_0(X)\overline{\chi_0(X)} = 100. \tag{26}$$

Since 5 is self-conjugate modulo 7, by Result 2.13, we have $\chi_0(X) \equiv 0 \bmod 5$ and hence,

$$\gamma_0 m_{0,0} + \gamma_1 m_{1,0} \equiv 0 \bmod 5.$$

Since $m_{0,0}$ and $m_{1,0}$ are restricted to $\{0, \pm1, \ldots, \pm4\}$, we must have $m_{0,0} = m_{1,0} = 0$. Solving equation (26), we get

$$(m_{0,1} + m_{0,2}, m_{1,1} + m_{1,2}) \in \{\pm(1,2), \pm(2,1), \pm(2,2)\}. \tag{27}$$

Let χ be a character of C_{11} of order 11 such that $\chi(D_1) = \frac{-1+\sqrt{-11}}{2}$ and $\chi(D_2) = \frac{-1-\sqrt{-11}}{2}$. Then,

$$\chi(X) = \pm Y + \frac{\sqrt{-11}}{2}\left(\gamma_0(m_{0,1} - m_{0,2}) + \gamma_1(m_{1,1} - m_{1,2})\right)$$

where $Y \in \left\{\frac{\gamma_0}{2} + 1, \frac{\gamma_1}{2} + 1, 1\right\}$. Using the solutions in (27) to solve $\chi(X)\overline{\chi(X)} = 100$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X \in \{&\pm(4D_1 - 2D_2), \pm(2D_1 - 4D_2), \pm(D_1(-2\gamma_0 + 2) + D_2(\gamma_0 - 1)),\\ &\pm(D_1(\gamma_0 - 1) + D_2(-2\gamma_0 + 2)), \pm(D_1(2\gamma_0 + 4) + D_2(-\gamma_0 - 2)),\\ &\pm(D_1(-\gamma_0 - 2) + D_2(2\gamma_0 + 4))\}. \end{aligned}$$

We may replace E by $\pm E^\sigma g$, if necessary, where σ is an automorphism of

C_{77}, $g \in C_{77}$, and assume that

$$X \in \{4D_1 - 2D_2, 2D_1(-\gamma_0 + 1) + D_2(\gamma_0 - 1)\}.$$

Using Result 2.14, we can deduce that $\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa) = \{\langle\alpha\rangle Z | Z \in \mathbb{Z}[C_{11}]\}$.

Suppose $X = 4D_1 - 2D_2$. Then $E = 4D_1 - 2D_2 + \langle\alpha\rangle Z$ for some $Z \in \mathbb{Z}[C_{11}]$. Since the coefficients of E lie between -2 and 2, we can rewrite E as follows:

$$E = (4 - 2\langle\alpha\rangle)D_1 - 2D_2 + \langle\alpha\rangle Z',$$

where $Z' \in \mathbb{Z}[C_{11}]$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(Z') \subset D_2 \cup \{1\}$. Let $\eta : C_{77} \to C_{11}$ be a projection such that $\eta(\alpha) = 1$ and $\eta(\beta) = \beta$. We compute $W = \eta(E) = -10D_1 - 2D_2 + 7Z'$. Since $WW^{(-1)} = 100$,

$$100 = \sum_{i=0}^{10}(\text{coeff. of } \beta^i \text{ in } W)^2 \geq \sum_{h \in \mathrm{supp}(D_1)} (\text{coeff. of } h \text{ in } W)^2 = 500.$$

A contradiction. So, $X = 2D_1(-\gamma_0 + 1) + D_2(\gamma_0 - 1)$ and hence

$$E = 2D_1(-\Delta + 1) + D_2(\Delta - 1) + \langle\alpha\rangle \sum_{i=0}^{10} b_i\beta^i$$

where b_i are integers. Again, since the coefficients of E lie between -2 and 2, we deduce that

$$b_0 \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}, b_1 = b_3 = b_4 = b_5 = b_9 = 0, \text{ and } b_i \in \{0, \pm 1\} \text{ for all } i \in S \tag{28}$$

where $S = \{2, 6, 7, 8, 10\}$. We compute $W = \eta(E) = -4D_1 + 2D_2 + 7\left(\sum_{i\in\{0\}\cup S} b_i\beta^i\right)$. Again, since $WW^{(-1)} = 100$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 100 &= \sum_{i=0}^{10}(\text{coeff. of } \beta^i \text{ in } W)^2 \\ &= 80 + \sum_{i\in\{0\}\cup S} (\text{coeff. of } \beta^i \text{ in } W)^2 \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} 20 &= \sum_{i\in\{0\}\cup S} (\text{coeff. of } \beta^i \text{ in } W)^2 \\ &= (7b_0)^2 + \sum_{i\in S}(7b_i + 2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (28), we get $b_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{0\} \cup S$. Thus,

$$E = 2D_1(-\Delta + 1) + D_2(\Delta - 1).$$

□

Theorem 4.22. *There is no* $CW(154, 100)$.

Proof. Assume there exists a $CW(154, 100)$, denoted by D. Let α, β, D_1, D_2, Δ, σ be as defined in Theorem 4.21. Let ω denotes the element of order 2 in C_{154}. Let $\rho : C_{154} \to C_{154}/\langle\omega\rangle$ be the natural epimorphism.

Let $E = \rho(D)$. Then E is a $ICW_2(77, 100)$. By Theorem 4.21, and w.l.o.g, we can assume $E = 2D_1(-\Delta + 1) + D_2(\Delta - 1)$.

Note that D is the pre-image of E under the natural epimorphism ρ. The pre-image of an element $\gamma \in C_{77}$ under ρ is either γ or $\gamma\omega$. Recall that D has coefficients $0, \pm 1$ only, and $|\mathrm{supp}(D)| = 100$. We deduce from E that

$$D = (1 + \omega)D_1(-\Delta + 1) + B + (1 - \omega)C \tag{29}$$

where $B \in \mathbb{Z}[C_{154}]$ and $C \in \mathbb{Z}[C_{77}]$ with the following properties:

- the coefficients of B and C are in $\{0, \pm 1\}$,
- $\mathrm{supp}(B) = \{\alpha^i\beta^j\omega^{\epsilon(i,j)} : i \in \{0, 1, 2, 4\}, j \in \{2, 6, 7, 8, 10\}\}$, where $\epsilon(i, j) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all i, j,
- $|\mathrm{supp}(C)| = 20$ and $\mathrm{supp}(C)$ is disjoint from the rest of the supports of D, i.e $\mathrm{supp}(C) \subset \mathrm{supp}((D_1 + D_2)\Delta') \cup \langle\alpha\rangle$ where $\Delta' = \alpha^3 + \alpha^5 + \alpha^6$.

Define a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}[C_{154}] \to \mathbb{Z}[C_{77}]$ by $\Phi(\omega) = -1$, $\Phi(\alpha) = \alpha$, and $\Phi(\beta) = \beta$. Let $W = \Phi(D)$. Then W is a $ICW_2(77, 100)$. By Theorem 4.21, we obtain

$$W = \Phi(B) + 2\Phi(C) = \pm(2D_1(-\Delta + 1) + D_2(\Delta - 1))^\sigma h$$

for some h in C_{77} and automorphism σ of C_{77}.

Since the coefficients of B and C are in $\{0, \pm 1\}$, the coefficients of $\Phi(B)$ and $\Phi(C)$ are in $\{0, \pm 1\}$ as well. Hence, $\mathrm{supp}(C) = \mathrm{supp}((D_1(-\Delta+1))^\sigma h)$ for some $h \in C_{77}$ and automorphism σ of C_{77}. But $\mathrm{supp}(C) \subset \mathrm{supp}((D_1 + D_2)\Delta') \cup \langle\alpha\rangle$ from (29). That is,

$$\mathrm{supp}((D_1(-\Delta + 1))^\sigma h \subset \mathrm{supp}((D_1 + D_2)\Delta') \cup \langle\alpha\rangle. \tag{30}$$

Note that any automorphism of C_{77} maps D_1 to either D_1 or D_2 and maps Δ to either Δ or Δ'. Since no $h \in C_{77}$ satisfies $\Delta h = \Delta'$, we must have $\Delta^\sigma = \Delta'$ and h is the identity element of C_{77}. Thus, we see that the left hand side of (30) contains the support of either D_1 or D_2 which does not belong to the right hand side. Hence, we get a contradiction and there is no $CW(154, 100)$. □

4.3. Weil numbers

In this subsection, we utilize rational idempotents, algebraic number theory, and powerful computer programs such as Magma and PARI to rule out the existence of $CW(60, 36)$, $CW(120, 36)$ and $CW(155, 36)$. We remark that construction of combinatorial structures using rational idempotents have appeared

in an unpublished work [21] and in [46]. The rational idempotent concept is implicitly used in [39] as well. To solve the existence of $CW(60,36)$, we require all Weil number solutions X to the modulus equation $X\overline{X} = 36$. The following theorem is obtained with computer assistance.

Theorem 4.23. *Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{60}]$ such that $X\overline{X} = 36$. Then X is equivalent to one of the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 &= -3\zeta_{60}^{15} - 2\zeta_{60}^{14} + \zeta_{60}^{11} + 2\zeta_{60}^{10} + \zeta_{60}^{9} + 4\zeta_{60}^{8} + 2\zeta_{60}^{6} + 5\zeta_{60}^{5} + 2\zeta_{60}^{4} \\
&\quad -4\zeta_{60}^{2} - \zeta_{60} - 4, \\
\beta_2 &= 2\zeta_{60}^{15} - 4\zeta_{60}^{11} - 4\zeta_{60}^{9} + 4\zeta_{60} + 4, \\
\beta_3 &= -\zeta_{60}^{15} + \zeta_{60}^{14} - 3\zeta_{60}^{11} - \zeta_{60}^{10} - 3\zeta_{60}^{9} - 2\zeta_{60}^{8} - \zeta_{60}^{6} + 5\zeta_{60}^{5} - \zeta_{60}^{4} \\
&\quad +2\zeta_{60}^{2} + 3\zeta_{60}, \\
\beta_4 &= -3\zeta_{60}^{14} + 3\zeta_{60}^{10} + 6\zeta_{60}^{8} + 3\zeta_{60}^{6} + 3\zeta_{60}^{4} - 6\zeta_{60}^{2} - 6, \\
\beta_5 &= 6.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.24. *Let $S = CW(60,36)$ and χ be a character of C_{60} of order 60. Let $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_5$ be as defined in Theorem 4.23. Then $\chi(S)$ is equivalent to β_1 or β_3.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.23, we can assume $\chi(S) \in \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_5\}$. Suppose $\chi(S) \in \{\beta_4, 6\}$, then $\tau(S) \equiv 0 \pmod 3$ for all characters τ of C_{60} of order divisible by 3. By Ma's result (2.15),

$$S = 3X_1 + C_3X_2.$$

for some X_1, X_2 in $\mathbb{Z}[C_{60}]$. This implies

$$S = C_3Z$$

for some $Z \in \mathbb{Z}[C_{60}]$, a contradiction.
Now, suppose $\chi(S) = \beta_2$, then $\tau(S) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ for all characters τ of C_{60} of order divisible by 4. By Ma's result,

$$S = 2X_1 + C_2X_2.$$

for some X_1, X_2 in $\mathbb{Z}[C_{60}]$. Let g be the element of order 2 in C_{60} and let $\rho : C_{60} \to C_{60}/\langle g \rangle$ be the natural epimorphism. By [39, Lemma 6.2],

$$S = (1-g)X + (1+g)Y$$

with X, Y in $\mathbb{Z}[C_{60}]$ such that $\rho(Y)$ is a $CW(30,9)$. But $CW(30,9)$ does not exist, see [1]. Contradiction. □

We use the following array to store the coefficients of a group ring element in a concise and systematic way. Let x, y and z be generators of C_{60} of order 4, 3, and 5 respectively. Then an element $S \in \mathbb{Z}[C_{60}]$ has unique representation as

$$S = \sum_{i=0}^{3}\sum_{j=0}^{2}\sum_{k=0}^{4} s_{i,j,k}x^iy^jz^k.$$

The array representation of S is

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c|c|c|cccc} s_{0,0,0} & s_{1,0,0} & s_{2,0,0} & s_{3,0,0} & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & s_{0,0,4} & s_{1,0,4} & s_{2,0,4} & s_{3,0,4} \\ s_{0,1,0} & s_{1,1,0} & s_{2,1,0} & s_{3,1,0} & & & & s_{0,1,4} & s_{1,1,4} & s_{2,1,4} & s_{3,1,4} \\ s_{0,2,0} & s_{1,2,0} & s_{2,2,0} & s_{3,2,0} & & & & s_{0,2,4} & s_{1,2,4} & s_{2,2,4} & s_{3,2,4} \end{array}\right].$$

We denote the 4 by 3 array

$$\begin{array}{cccc} s_{0,0,k} & s_{1,0,k} & s_{2,0,k} & s_{3,0,k} \\ s_{0,1,k} & s_{1,1,k} & s_{2,1,k} & s_{3,1,k} \\ s_{0,2,k} & s_{1,2,k0} & s_{2,2,k} & s_{3,2,k} \end{array}$$

as k-th z-array.

Recall that image of $CW(60,36)$ under the natural epimorphism $\rho : C_{60} \to C_{60}/C_5 \cong C_{12}$ is an $ICW_5(12,36)$. We call these images C_{12}-images of $CW(60,36)$. In general, if $D \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$, then the images of D under the natural epimorphism $\rho : G \to G/H$ where H is a subgroup of G, are called H-images of D.

Theorem 4.25. *There is no $CW(60,36)$.*

Proof. Suppose S is a $CW(60,36)$. Using notations in 2.26, we express S in terms of its rational idempotents,

$$S = \frac{1}{5}C_5U + \frac{1}{5}(5 - C_5)V, \tag{31}$$

where U is a C_{12}-image of S and

$$\begin{aligned} V = \frac{\alpha_5}{12}C_{12} + \frac{\alpha_{10}}{12}(2C_2 - C_4)C_3 + \frac{\alpha_{15}}{12}C_4(3 - C_3) + \frac{\alpha_{20}}{6}(2 - C_2)(C_3) \\ + \frac{\alpha_{30}}{12}(2C_2 - C_4)(3 - C_3) + \frac{\alpha_{60}}{6}(2 - C_2)(3 - C_3). \end{aligned}$$

For each w where α_w appears in V, let $X_w \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_w]$ be such that $X_w\overline{X_w} = 36$. Write $X_w = \sum_{i=0}^{w-1} c_i\zeta_w^i$ where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let g be a generator of C_{60}. Then we may set $\alpha_w = \sum_{i=0}^{w-1} c_i g^{(60/w)i}$. From Theorem 4.24, X_{60} is equivalent to β_1 or β_3 where β_1 and β_3 are as defined in Theorem 4.23. With computer assistance, we deduce that X_{30} is equivalent to $3(1 - 2\zeta_{30} - \zeta_{30}^2 + \zeta_{30}^3 - 2\zeta_{30}^4 + \zeta_{30}^5 - \zeta_{30}^7)$ or 6, X_{20} is equivalent to $2(2 - 2\zeta_{20}^3 + \zeta_{20}^5 - 2\zeta_{20}^7)$ or 6, and X_{15} is equivalent to $3(1 - 2\zeta_{15} - \zeta_{15}^2 + \zeta_{15}^3 - 2\zeta_{15}^4 + \zeta_{15}^5 - \zeta_{15}^7)$ or 6. Since 6 is self-conjugate modulo 5 and 10, Result 2.13 implies that X_5 and X_{10} are equivalent to 6.

Let x, y, z denote the generators of C_{60} of order $4, 3, 5$ respectively. Then we

have

$$V = \pm x^i y^j z^k \Bigg[\quad \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}$$
$$\pm \frac{1}{2} z^{k_2}\begin{pmatrix}1 & -1 & 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}$$
$$\pm \frac{\alpha_{15}}{4} z^{k_3}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\-1\\-1\end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \frac{\alpha_{20}}{3} z^{k_4}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}$$
$$\pm \frac{\alpha_{30}}{4} y^{j_5} z^{k_5}\begin{pmatrix}1 & -1 & 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\-1\\-1\end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \frac{\alpha_{60}}{6} x^{i_6} y^{j_6} z^{k_6}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}2\\-1\\-1\end{pmatrix}\Bigg],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{15} \in \quad & \{-y^2z^2 + y^2 - 2yz^4 - yz^2 - 2yz + z^3 + 1, y^2z^2 - y^2 + 2yz^4 + yz^2 + 2yz \\
& - z^3 - 2, 2\}, \\
\alpha_{20} \in \quad & \{-2x^3z^3 - 2x^3z^2 + x + 2, 2x^3z^3 + 2x^3z^2 - x + 2, 3\}, \\
\alpha_{30} \in \quad & \{-y^2z^4 - 2y^2z^3 - 2y^2z^2 - yz^4 + y + z + 1, y^2z^4 + 2y^2z^3 + 2y^2z^2 + yz^4 \\
& - y - z - 2, 3\}, \\
\alpha_{60} \in \quad & \{x^3y^2z - 3x^3 - 2x^2y^2z^4 - 4x^2y^2z^2 + 2x^2y + 2x^2z + 5xy^2 - xyz + xz^4 \\
& + 4y^2z^3 + 2yz^4 - 4, -3x^3y^2z - x^3 + x^2y^2z^4 + 2x^2y^2z^2 - x^2y \\
& - x^2z + 5xy^2 + 3xyz - 3xz^4 - 2y^2z^3 - yz^4\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since S and U are integral, we must have $(5-C_5)V$ to be integral as well. Denote each term in the square bracket above with $A', B', \cdots, F'$ respectively and let $X = (5 - C_5)X'$ for $X \in \{A, B, \ldots, F\}$. Then we have $A + B + \cdots + F$ must be integral. We will show that this is not possible and hence S does not exist. If necessary, we replace S by Sg for some element g in S so that we can assume $i_6 = j_6 = k_6 = 0$. Then we have all possibilities for $A, B, \ldots, F$ as follows:

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 4&4&4&4&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1\\ 4&4&4&4&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1\\ 4&4&4&4&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1 \end{array}\right]$$

$$B = \pm\frac{1}{2}z^{k_2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 4&-4&4&-4&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1\\ 4&-4&4&-4&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1\\ 4&-4&4&-4&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1 \end{array}\right]$$

$$C = \left\{\begin{array}{l} \pm\frac{1}{4}z^{k_3}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} -4&-4&-4&-4&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1\\ 2&2&2&2&-8&-8&-8&-8&7&7&7&7&7&7&7&7&-8&-8&-8&-8\\ 2&2&2&2&7&7&7&7&-8&-8&-8&-8&-8&-8&-8&-8&7&7&7&7 \end{array}\right]\\ \pm\frac{1}{4}z^{k_3}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} -4&-4&-4&-4&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1\\ 2&2&2&2&7&7&7&7&-8&-8&-8&-8&-8&-8&-8&-8&7&7&7&7\\ 2&2&2&2&-8&-8&-8&-8&7&7&7&7&7&7&7&7&-8&-8&-8&-8 \end{array}\right]\\ \pm\frac{1}{2}z^{k_3}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 8&8&8&8&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2\\ -4&-4&-4&-4&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1\\ -4&-4&-4&-4&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1 \end{array}\right] \end{array}\right.$$

$$D = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{3} z^{k_4} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 8 & 0 & -8 & 0 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 \\ 8 & 0 & -8 & 0 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 \\ 8 & 0 & -8 & 0 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 \end{array} \right] \\ \\ \frac{1}{3} z^{k_4} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 8 & 0 & -8 & 0 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 \\ 8 & 0 & -8 & 0 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 \\ 8 & 0 & -8 & 0 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 \end{array} \right] \\ \\ z^{k_4} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 4 & 0 & -4 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & -4 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & -4 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right.$$

$$E = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pm\frac{1}{4} y^{j_5} z^{k_5} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} -4 & 4 & -4 & 4 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 \end{array} \right] \\ \\ \pm\frac{1}{4} y^{j_5} z^{k_5} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} -4 & 4 & -4 & 4 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 & 7 & -7 & -8 & 8 & -8 & 8 \end{array} \right] \\ \\ \pm\frac{1}{2} y^{j_5} z^{k_5} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 8 & -8 & 8 & -8 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ -4 & 4 & -4 & 4 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -4 & 4 & -4 & 4 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right.$$

$$F = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{6} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} -8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 2 & 5 & -2 & -5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & -5 & -2 & 5 & 2 & 5 & -2 & -5 \\ 4 & -30 & -4 & 30 & 14 & 5 & -14 & -5 & -16 & 10 & 16 & -10 & -16 & 10 & 16 & -10 & 14 & 5 & -14 & -5 \\ 4 & 30 & -4 & -30 & -16 & -10 & 16 & 10 & 14 & -5 & -14 & 5 & 14 & -5 & -14 & 5 & -16 & -10 & 16 & 10 \end{array} \right] \\ \\ \frac{1}{6} \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} -12 & 0 & 12 & 0 & 3 & -15 & -3 & 15 & 3 & 15 & -3 & -15 & 3 & 15 & -3 & -15 & 3 & -15 & -3 & 15 \\ 6 & -30 & -6 & 30 & -9 & 15 & 9 & -15 & 6 & 0 & -6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & -6 & 0 & -9 & 15 & 9 & -15 \\ 6 & 30 & -6 & -30 & 6 & 0 & -6 & 0 & -9 & -15 & 9 & 15 & -9 & -15 & 9 & 15 & 6 & 0 & -6 & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right.$$

We will show that there are no $A, \ldots, F$ that sum up to an array with integral entries. There are three possibilities for C (up to equivalence). If we choose the first or the second, then there must be a z-array in C with first row $\pm\frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}$ added to a z-array in D with first row $\frac{1}{3}\begin{pmatrix}-2 & -5 & 2 & 5\end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix}-1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}$. Denote that particular row in C and D as C_{r_1} and D_{r_1} respectively. Let X_{r_1} denote the first row of the z-array of X that is added to C_{r_1} and D_{r_1} for all X in $\{A, B, E, F\}$. Since the sum $\sum_{X\in\{A,\ldots,F\}} X_{r_i} = \begin{pmatrix}l_1 & l_2 & l_3 & l_4\end{pmatrix}$ must be integral, we must have $E_{r_1} = \pm\frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix}-a & a & -a & a\end{pmatrix}$ with a odd. Now, since the value $l_2 - l_1$ must be integer, we must have $D_{r_1} = \frac{1}{6}\begin{pmatrix}2 & 5 & -2 & -5\end{pmatrix}$. But now if we consider $l_3 - l_2$, we have $l_3 - l_2 = \pm 7/6 \pm a/2 + r$ for some integer r, with $a \in \{1, 7\}$ (since a must be odd), which is not integer. So, if we select the first or the second choice for C, there is no way to get the sum of $A, \ldots, F$ to be integral. So, there is only one choice left for C. In this case, there are two z-arrays of C, each with entries

$$\pm\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}-2 & -2 & -2 & -2\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{bmatrix}.$$

There are only two possibilities for these two z-arrays of C to be added to two z-arrays of D. The first possibility is that both of these z-arrays of C are added to the z-array of D with entries

$$\begin{bmatrix}-1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Another possibility is that one of these z-arrays of C is added to the z-array of D with entries

$$\frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix}-2 & 5 & 2 & -5\\ -2 & 5 & 2 & -5\\ -2 & 5 & 2 & -5\end{bmatrix}$$

and another one is added to

$$\frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix}-2 & -5 & 2 & 5\\ -2 & -5 & 2 & 5\\ -2 & -5 & 2 & 5\end{bmatrix}.$$

With similar arguement as before, we must have

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 4&4&4&4&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1\\ 4&4&4&4&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1\\ 4&4&4&4&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1&-1 \end{array}\right]$$

$$B = \pm\frac{1}{2}z^{k_2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 4&-4&4&-4&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1\\ 4&-4&4&-4&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1\\ 4&-4&4&-4&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1 \end{array}\right]$$

$$C = \pm\frac{1}{2}z^{k_3}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 8&8&8&8&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2&-2\\ -4&-4&-4&-4&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1\\ -4&-4&-4&-4&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1 \end{array}\right]$$

$$D = z^{k_4}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 4&0&-4&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0\\ 4&0&-4&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0\\ 4&0&-4&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0&-1&0&1&0 \end{array}\right]$$

$$E = \pm\frac{1}{2}y^{j_5}z^{k_5}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} 8&-8&8&-8&-2&2&-2&2&-2&2&-2&2&-2&2&-2&2&-2&2&-2&2\\ -4&4&-4&4&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1\\ -4&4&-4&4&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1&1&-1 \end{array}\right]$$

$$F = \frac{1}{6}\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc} -12&0&12&0&3&-15&-3&15&3&15&-3&-15&3&15&-3&-15&3&-15&-3&15\\ 6&-30&-6&30&-9&15&9&-15&6&0&-6&0&6&0&-6&0&-9&15&9&-15\\ 6&30&-6&-30&6&0&-6&0&-9&-15&9&15&-9&-15&9&15&6&0&-6&0 \end{array}\right]$$

It is not hard to see that no $y^{j_5}, z^{k_5}, z^{k_3}, z^{k_4}, z^{k_2}$ that can make the sum of $A, \ldots, F$ integral. □

We remark that a different argument using intensive computer searches was presented in [17] with the same conclusion as we have.

The argument in Theorem 4.25 can be extended to get all $ICW_2(60, 36)$. With the help of computer, we classified all $ICW_2(60, 36)$ up to equivalence. There are 1628 of them. We also found all solutions of $X\overline{X} = 36$ for $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{120}]$ using computer. There are 24 of these solutions, up to equivalence. We are going to use these $ICW_2(60, 36)$ and Weil numbers solutions to show that no $CW(120, 36)$ exists. We remark that most of the computations are done by computer.

Theorem 4.26. *There is no $CW(120, 36)$.*

Proof. Suppose D is a $CW(120, 36)$, then its C_{60}-image is an $ICW_2(60, 36)$. Let h be a generator of C_{60}, g be a generator or C_{120}. For each $E \in \mathbb{Z}[C_{60}]$ which is an $ICW_2(60, 36)$, we check if there is a lifting of E to C_{120} which is $CW(120, 36)$. We write $E = \sum_{i=0}^{59} a_i h^i$ where $a_i \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$. We examine all lifting of E to C_{120} to find $CW(120, 36)$. Note that for a lifting of E to be a $CW(120, 36)$, its coefficients must lie in $\{0, \pm 1\}$. Hence, if $a_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, then $a_i h^i$ is lifted to $a_i g^i \alpha^{\frac{1-\epsilon_i}{2}}$ where $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\alpha = g^{60}$. If $a_i \in \{\pm 2\}$, then $a_i h^i$ is lifted to $\frac{a_i}{2} g^i (1 + \alpha)$. If $a_i = 0$, then it is lifted to one of the following $\{0, \pm g^i (1 - \alpha)\}$. Let D be such a lifting of E. Let χ be a character of C_{120} of order divisible by 8. Then $\chi(\alpha) = -1$. Let $A = \{i : i = 0 \ldots 59 | a_i \in \{\pm 1\}\}$ and $B = \{i : i = 0 \ldots 59 | a_i \in \{\pm 2\}\}$. We have

$$\chi(D) = \sum_{i \in A} a_i \chi(g)^i (-1)^{\frac{1-\epsilon_i}{2}} + \sum_{i \in B} 2\delta_i \chi(g)^i$$

where $\epsilon_i \in \pm 1$ and $\delta_i \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. Note that $(-1)^{\frac{1-\epsilon_i}{2}} = \epsilon_i$ and using Result 2.3, we deduce that number of $\delta_i \neq 0$ is $c = (36 - |A| - 2|B|)/2$. Hence we simplify

$$\chi(D) = \sum_{i \in A} a_i \chi(g)^i \epsilon_i + \sum_{i \in C} 2\epsilon_i \chi(g)^i$$

for some c-subset C of $\mathbb{Z}_{60} \setminus (A \cup B)$ and $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$. Note that $\chi(D)$ must be a solution to X where

$$X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{120}] \qquad X\overline{X} = 36. \tag{32}$$

As mentioned earlier, with the help of computer, we know all these solutions X. Note that

$$\chi(D) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{59} a_i \chi(g)^i \bmod 2.$$

For each solution X of (32), we check if $X \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{59} a_i \chi(g)^i \bmod 2$. If X does not satisfy the congruence, then X is not a valid candidate for $\chi(D)$. Now, for each X which is not ruled out (and hence can be a valid candidate for $\chi(D)$)

and for each c-subset C of $\mathbb{Z}_{60} \setminus (A \cup B)$, we solve for ϵ_i such that

$$X = \sum_{i \in A} a_i \chi(g)^i \epsilon_i + \sum_{i \in C} 2\epsilon_i \chi(g)^i.$$

Write

$$X = \sum_{j=0}^{31} d_j \zeta_{120}^j$$

where $d_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write

$$\sum_{i \in A} a_i \chi(g)^i \epsilon_i + \sum_{i \in C} 2\epsilon_i \chi(g)^i = \sum_{j=0}^{31} e_j \zeta_{120}^j$$

where each e_j is a linear combinations of ϵ_i with integer coefficients. By the uniqueness integral basis representation, we have $e_j = d_j$ for all j. Hence, we obtain a system of linear equations with integer coefficients and variables ϵ_i.

To better illustrate this, we present an example. Let $E = \sum_{i=0}^{59} a_i h^i$ where $[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{59}]$ is

$[-1, 1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 1, 1, 0, -1, 0, -1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, -1, 1, 1, 0, 0,$
$-1, 1, 0, 1, 0, -1, -1, 1, -1, -1, 0, 0, -1, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1,$
$0, 0, -1]$.
Then $|A| = 32$, $|B| = 1$. Hence, $c = 1$. Consider $C = \{45\}$. We then obtain

$$e_0 = -\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_{32} + \epsilon_{36} + \epsilon_{56}.$$

$$e_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{37} + \epsilon_{41}.$$

We omit the details for the rest of e_j. Let $X = \sum_{j=0}^{31} d_j \zeta_{120}^j$ where $[d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{31}]$ is

$$[2, 1, -1, 3, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1, -1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 2, -2, 0, 0, 1, -3, -5, 0, 1, -2, 0, 2, -2, 1, -1, 1, -1, 3].$$

The reader may verify that X indeed satisfy $X \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{59} a_i \chi(g)^i \bmod 2$. Now, we obtain a system of linear equations $e_j = d_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, 31$.

With computer assistance, we solve this system of linear equations. It turns out that there is no solution. We do this for all E which is $ICW_2(60, 36)$ and arrive at the same conclusion. Hence, we conclude that no $CW(120, 36)$ exists. □

Theorem 4.27. *There is no $CW(155, 36)$.*

Proof. Suppose D is a $CW(155, 36)$. Let χ_w be a character of C_{155} of order w. From 2.3, we have $\chi_1(D) = \pm 6$. Since 2 is self-conjugate modulo 5, we have $\chi_5(D) \equiv 0 \bmod 2$. With the help of computer, we deduce that the only solution of $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{31}]$ satisfying $X\overline{X} = 36$ is equivalent to 6. Hence, $\chi_{31}(D) \equiv 0 \bmod 2$. Furthermore, using computer, we deduce that there are only two non-equivalent solutions of $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{155}]$ satisfying $X\overline{X} = 36$. Both of the solutions are divisible by 2. Hence, $\chi_{155}(D) \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ as well. Since $\chi_w(D) \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ for all divisors

w of 155, by 2.5, we must have all the coefficients of D divisible by 2. But this violates the defintion of D being $CW(155, 36)$. Contradiction. □

5. Conclusions

It is well known that algebraic tools are powerful for the study of existence or non-existence of combinatorial objects. In this paper, we present a generalized multiplier theorem to prove the non-existence of infinite families of group invariant weighing matrices. We believe our approaches can be further used to yield useful applications to other combinatorial objects such as difference sets.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank my advisor, Bernhard Schmidt, for his helpful comments and discussions.

References

[1] M. H. Ang, K. T. Arasu, S. Lun Ma, and Y. Strassler. Study of proper circulant weighing matrices with weight 9. *Discrete Math.*, 308(13):2802–2809, 2008. [30, 43]

[2] K. Arasu and J. R. Hollon. Group developed weighing matrices. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 55:205–233, 2013. [2, 13, 19, 24, 43]

[3] K. T. Arasu, K. Bayes, and A. Nabavi. Nonexistence of two circulant weighing matrices of weight 81^1. *Transactions on Combinatorics*, 4(3):43–52, 2015. [43, 46, 47]

[4] K. T. Arasu, K. H. Leung, S. L. Ma, A. Nabavi, and D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri. Circulant weighing matrices of weight 2^{2t}. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 41(1):111–123, 2006. [43]

[5] K. T. Arasu, K. H. Leung, S. L. Ma, A. Nabavi, and D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri. Determination of all possible orders of weight 16 circulant weighing matrices. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 12(4):498–538, 2006. [43]

[6] K. T. Arasu and S. L. Ma. Some new results on circulant weighing matrices. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 14(2):91–101, 2001. [15]

[7] K. T. Arasu and S. L. Ma. Nonexistence of $CW(110, 100)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 62(3):273–278, 2012. [10, 11, 43, 47]

[8] K. T. Arasu and A. Nabavi. Nonexistence of CW$(154, 36)$ and CW$(170, 64)$. *Discrete Math.*, 311(8-9):769–779, 2011. [43, 49]

[9] K. T. Arasu and J. Seberry. Circulant weighing designs. *J. Combin. Des.*, 4(6):439–447, 1996. [10]

[10] K. T. Arasu and Q. Xiang. Multiplier theorems. *J. Combin. Des.*, 3(4):257–268, 1995. [10]

[11] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz. *Design theory. Vol. I*, volume 69 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1999. [4]

[12] A. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich. *Number theory*. Translated from the Russian by Newcomb Greenleaf. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 20. Academic Press, New York-London, 1966. [4]

[13] J. W. S. Cassels. On a conjecture of R. M. Robinson about sums of roots of unity. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 238:112–131, 1969. [7]

[14] R. Craigen. The structure of weighing matrices having large weights. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 5(3):199–216, 1995. [1]

[15] R. Craigen and H. Kharaghani. Hadamard matrices from weighing matrices via signed groups. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 12(1):49–58, 1997. [1]

[16] W. de Launey. On the nonexistence of generalized weighing matrices. *Ars Com.*, 21:117–132, 1984. [1]

[17] D. Dokovic and I. Kotsireas. There is no circulant weighing matrix of order 60 and weight 36. http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.1399. [37]

[18] P. Eades and R. M. Hain. On circulant weighing matrices. *Ars Combinatoria*, 2:265–284, 1976. [1, 43]

[19] S. Eliahou, M. Kervaire, and B. Saffari. A new restriction on the lengths of Golay complementary sequences. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 55(1):49–59, 1990. [6]

[20] L. Epstein. The classification of circulant weighing matrices of weight 16 and odd order. diploma thesis, Bar-Ilan University, 1998. [43]

[21] B. Franklin and S. Sam. Nonexistence of some cyclic difference sets, 2006. [8, 30]

[22] A. V. Geramita and J. S. Wallis. Orthogonal designs. III. Weighing matrices. *Utilitas Math.*, 6:209–236, 1974. [1]

[23] D. Gorenstein. *Finite groups*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, second edition, 1980. [4]

[24] M. Gysin and J. Seberry. On the weighing matrices of order $4n$ and weight $4n-2$ and $2n-1$. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 12:157–174, 1995. [1]

[25] K. Ireland and M. Rosen. *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, volume 84 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1990. [14, 23]

[26] N. Jacobson. *Basic algebra. I.* W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, second edition, 1985. [5]

[27] C. Koukouvinos and J. Seberry. Weighing matrices and their applications. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 62(1):91–101, 1997. [1]

[28] S. Lal, R. L. McFarland, and R. W. K. Odoni. On $|\alpha|^2+|\beta|^2 = p^t$ in certain cyclotomic fields. *Number theory and algebra, Academic Press*, pages 195–197, 1977. [6]

[29] T. Y. Lam and K. H. Leung. On vanishing sums of roots of unity. *J. Algebra*, 224(1):91–109, 2000. [6]

[30] K. H. Leung and S. L. Ma. Proper circulant weighing matrices of weight p^2. Preprint. [43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50]

[31] K. H. Leung and S. L. Ma. Proper circulant weighing matrices of weight 25. Preprint, 2011. [43, 47, 48, 49, 50]

[32] K. H. Leung and B. Schmidt. The field descent method. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 36(2):171–188, 2005. [14]

[33] S. L. Ma. *Polynomial addition sets*. Ph. d thesis, Univerisity of Hong Kong, 1985. [6]

[34] R. L. McFarland. *ON MULTIPLIERS OF ABELIAN DIFFERENCE SETS*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1970. Thesis (Ph.D.)–The Ohio State University. [9]

[35] R. C. Mullin. A note on balanced weighing matrices. In *Combinatorial mathematics, III (Proc. Third Australian Conf., Univ. Queensland, St. Lucia, 1974)*, pages 28–41. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 452. Springer, Berlin, 1975. [1]

[36] H. Ohmori. Classification of weighing matrices of order 13 and weight 9. *Discrete Math.*, 116(1-3):55–78, 1993. [1]

[37] B. Schmidt. Cyclotomic integers and finite geometry. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(4):929–952, 1999. [6, 7]

[38] B. Schmidt. *Characters and cyclotomic fields in finite geometry*, volume 1797 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. [8]

[39] B. Schmidt and K. W. Smith. Circulant weighing matrices whose order and weight are products of powers of 2 and 3. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(1):275–287, 2013. [30, 43, 45, 48, 50]

[40] J. Seberry and M. Yamada. Hadamard matrices, sequences, and block designs. In *Contemporary design theory*, Wiley-Intersci. Ser. Discrete Math. Optim., pages 431–560. Wiley, New York, 1992. [1]

[41] R. G. Stanton and R. C. Mullin. On the nonexistence of a class of circulant balanced weighting matrices. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 30(1):pp. 98–102, 1976. [1]

[42] Y. Strassler. *The classification of circulant weighing matrices of weight* 9. diploma thesis, Bar-Ilan University, 1998. [43]

[43] M. M. Tan. *Relative Difference Sets and Circulant Weighing Matrices*. Phd thesis, Nanyang Technological University, 2014. [2]

[44] R. J. Turyn. Character sums and difference sets. *Pacific J. Math.*, 15:319–346, 1965. [6]

[45] J. S. Wallis and A. L. Whiteman. Some results on weighing matrices. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 12(3):433–447, 1975. [1]

[46] J. D. Webster. Reversible difference sets with rational idempotents. *Arabian Journal of Mathematics*, 2(1):103–114, 2013. [8, 30]

[47] V. Yorgov. On the existance of certain circulant weighing matrices. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, 86:73–85, 2013. [43, 47, 48, 49, 50]

Appendix A. Updated Strassler's table

Here, we provide the most updated version of Strassler's table, see Table A.3. We incorporated all latest results known so far, see [7, 8, 31, 30, 39, 47, 3]. Previously open cases that we have settled in this paper are labeled with "N". Those are $CW(v,n)$ for the following pairs of (v,n): $(60,36)$, $(120,36)$, $(138,36)$, $(155,36)$, $(184,36)$, $(128,49)$, $(112,64)$, $(147,64)$, $(184,64)$, $(105,81)$, $(117,81)$, $(184,81)$, $(133,100)$, $(154,100)$, $(158,100)$, $(160,100)$, $(176,100)$, $(190,100)$, and $(192,100)$. In the table, we also indicate which cases of $CW(v,n)$ with $n > 25$ that can be proved to be non-existence by the results presented in this paper. All $CW(v,n)$s with $n \leq 25$ have been completely classified, see [1, 20, 4, 5, 18, 42]. We use the following labels: (A) for Theorem 4.2, (B) for Theorem 4.6, (C) for Theorem 4.10, (D) for Theorem 4.18, (E) for Theorem 4.13, (F) for Theorem 4.22, (G) for Theorem 4.3 (H) for Theorem 4.25, (I) for Theorem 4.26, (J) for Theorem 4.27.

In addition, we solved some open cases in the table of group invariant weighing matrices in [2], where the group is abelian but non-cyclic. Table A.4 summarizes our results. Note that an abelian group $G = C_{v_1} \times C_{v_2} \times \ldots \times C_{v_r}$ is represented by $[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r]$ in the table.

Table A.3: Updated Strassler's table of circulant weighing matrices

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
v										
1	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
2	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
3	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
4	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
5	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
6	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
7	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
8	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
9	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
10	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
11	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
12	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
13	Y	·	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
14	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
15	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
16	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
17	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
18	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
19	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
20	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
21	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·
22	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
23	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
24	Y	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
25	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
26	Y	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
27	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
28	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·
29	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
30	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·

Existence (Y), nonexistence (·), nonexistence found by computer (*), nonexistence shown in this paper (N), and open cases (?) for $CW(v, s^2)$

Table A.3 (continued)

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
v										
31	Y	·	·	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·
32	Y	Y	·	·	*	·	·	·	·	·
33	Y	·	·	·	Y	·	·	·	·	·
34	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
35	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
36	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
37	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	·	·	·	·
38	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	·	·	·	·
39	Y	·	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
40	Y	Y	·	·	*	·	·	·	·	·
41	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
42	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·
43	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	·	·	·	·
44	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (E)	·	·	·	·
45	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
46	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	·	·	·	·
47	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	·	·	·	·
48	Y	Y	Y	·	*	Y [39]	·	·	·	·
49	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· [30]	·	·	·
50	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
51	Y	·	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	·	·
52	Y	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· (C)	·	·	·
53	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	·	·	·
54	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	* (A)	·	·	·
55	Y	·	·	·	·	· (E)	· (C)	·	·	·
56	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	· [30]	·	·	·
57	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	Y	·	·	·
58	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	·	·	·	·
59	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	·	·	·
60	Y	Y	·	·	·	N (H)	·	·	·	·

Existence (Y), nonexistence (·), nonexistence found by computer (*), nonexistence shown in this paper (N), and open cases (?) for $CW(v, s^2)$

Table A.3 (continued)

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
v										
61	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	·	·	·
62	Y	Y	·	Y	Y	·	· (C)	·	·	·
63	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	N [30] (A)	·	·	·
64	Y	Y	·	·	*	·	* (A)	·	·	·
65	Y	·	Y	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	·	·
66	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· (E)	·	·	·	·
67	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	·	·
68	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	·	·
69	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	·	·
70	Y	Y	·	Y	·	*	· [30]	·	·	·
71	Y	·	·	·	Y	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	·	·
72	Y	Y	Y	·	·	·	*	·	·	·
73	Y	·	·	·	·	·	· (C)	Y	·	·
74	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	·	·
75	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
76	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	*	· (F)	·	·
77	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· [30]	·	·	·
78	Y	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· (C)	· (C)	·	·
79	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	·	·
80	Y	Y	·	·	*	·	*	·	·	·
81	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	· (B)	·
82	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (F)	·	·
83	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	·
84	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	· [30]	Y	·	·
85	Y	·	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	· (C)	·
86	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	·	· (F)	· (F)	·
87	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	Y	· (C)	· (C)	·
88	Y	Y	·	·	*	· (E)	· (C)	· [3] (E)	·	·
89	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	·
90	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	*	*	·	·

Existence (Y), nonexistence (·), nonexistence found by computer (*), nonexistence shown in this paper (N), and open cases (?) for $CW(v, s^2)$

Table A.3 (continued)

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
v										
91	Y	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· [30]	·	Y	·
92	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (C)	·
93	Y	·	·	Y	Y	·	· (C)	·	· (C)	·
94	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	·
95	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	*	· (C)	· (C)	·
96	Y	Y	Y	·	*	Y	*	·	·	·
97	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	·
98	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	· [30]	·	·	·
99	Y	·	·	·	Y	· (E)	·	· [3] (C)	·	·
100	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
101	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
102	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	· (C)	·
103	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
104	Y	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· (C)	· (F)	?	·
105	Y	Y	·	Y	·	?	· [30]	·	N (B)	·
106	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
107	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
108	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
109	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	·
110	Y	Y	·	·	· [31]	· (E)	· (C)	· (E)	?	· [7]
111	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)
112	Y	Y	·	Y	· [47]	?	· [30]	N (E)	·	?
113	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
114	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (E)	Y	· (C)	· (C)	· (E)
115	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (E)
116	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	?	· (F)	· (F)	·
117	Y	·	Y	·	· [47]	?	·	· (C)	N (A)	·
118	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
119	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· [30]	· (E)	· (C)	· (E)
120	Y	Y	Y	·	· [31]	N (I)	?	·	·	?

Existence (Y), nonexistence (·), nonexistence found by computer (*), nonexistence shown in this paper (N), and open cases (?) for $CW(v, s^2)$

Table A.3 (continued)

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
v										
121	Y	·	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	Y	·
122	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	·	· (C)
123	Y	·	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	·	· (C)
124	Y	Y	·	Y	Y	·	· (C)	Y	· (F)	Y
125	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	· (B)	·
126	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	N [30] (A)	?	·	·
127	Y	·	·	·	·	·	· (C)	Y	· (F)	·
128	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	N (A)	·[39]	·	·
129	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)
130	Y	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· (C)	· (C)	?	·
131	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
132	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· (E)	·	·	?	Y
133	Y	Y	·	·	· [47]	·	· [30]	· (C)	· (C)	N (E)
134	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
135	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	· (B)	·
136	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	· (C)	·
137	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
138	Y	Y	·	·	·	N (D)	· (C)	· (C)	· (E)	· (E)
139	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
140	Y	Y	·	Y	·	?	· [30]	?	·	·
141	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)
142	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	Y
143	Y	·	Y	·	·	?	· (C)	· (C)	?	·
144	Y	Y	Y	·	· [31]	Y [39]	?	·	·	·
145	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	· (C)	· (C)	·
146	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	Y	· (F)	·
147	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	· [30]	N (A)	· (B)	·
148	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· [47] (C)	· (F)	· (C)	· (C)
149	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
150	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·

Existence (Y), nonexistence (·), nonexistence found by computer (*), nonexistence shown in this paper (N), and open cases (?) for $CW(v, s^2)$

Table A.3 (continued)

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
v										
151	Y	·	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
152	Y	Y	·	·	· [47]	· (F)	?	· (F)	· (C)	· (E)
153	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	· (D)	·
154	Y	Y	·	Y	·	· [8]	· [30]	·	?	N (G)
155	Y	·	·	Y	Y	N (J)	· (C)	·	· (C)	?
156	Y	Y	Y	·	· [31]	Y	· (C)	·	?	?
157	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
158	Y	Y		·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	N (C)
159	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)
160	Y	Y	·	·	· [31]	·	?	·	?	N (A)
161	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· [30]	·	· (C)	· (E)
162	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· [47]	·	·	·
163	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
164	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (F)	·	· (C)
165	Y	·	·	·	Y	·	· [47]	·	·	?
166	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
167	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
168	Y	Y	Y	Y	·	Y	· [30]	Y	·	·
169	Y	·	Y	·	·	·	· (C)	· (F)	·	·
170	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	·	· (C)	·
171	Y	·	·	·	· [47]	·	Y	· (C)	· (D)	·
172	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (C)	·	· (F)	· (F)	·
173	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
174	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (D)	Y	· (C)	· (C)	·
175	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· [30]	· (E)	· (B)	· (E)
176	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (E)	·	N (A)
177	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)
178	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	·
179	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
180	Y	Y	·	·	·	?	·	?	·	·

Existence (Y), nonexistence (·), nonexistence found by computer (*), nonexistence shown in this paper (N), and open cases (?) for $CW(v, s^2)$

Table A.3 (continued)

s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
v										
181	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
182	Y	Y	Y	Y	·	Y	· [30]	?	Y	?
183	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	·	· (C)
184	Y	Y	·	·	·	N (F)	· (C)	N (D)	N (E)	· (E)
185	Y	·	·	·	·	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)	· (C)
186	Y	Y	·	Y	Y	·	· (C)	Y	· (C)	Y
187	Y	·	·	·	·	· (E)	· (C)	· (C)	·	·
188	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
189	Y	Y	·	Y	·	·	N [30] (A)	·	· (B)	·
190	Y	Y	·	·	· [31]	· (C)	· [47]	· (C)	· (C)	N (E)
191	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
192	Y	Y	Y	·	· [31]	Y [39]	?	·	·[39]	N (A)
193	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
194	Y	Y	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
195	Y	·	Y	·	·	?	· (C)	· (C)	?	?
196	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	· [30]	?	·	·
197	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
198	Y	Y	·	·	Y	· (E)	· [47]	·	?	?
199	Y	·	·	·	·	· (F)	· (C)	· (F)	· (F)	· (C)
200	Y	Y	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·

Existence (Y), nonexistence (·), nonexistence found by computer (*), nonexistence shown in this paper (N), and open cases (?) for $CW(v, s^2)$

Table A.4: Non-existence of G-invariant Weighing Matrices $W(|G|, n)$

G	n	Non-existence Proof
$[2, 2, 11]$	9	4.9
$[2, 2, 2, 11]$	9	4.9
$[2, 2, 23]$	9	4.9
$[2, 4, 11]$	9	4.9
$[2, 2, 19]$	25	4.9
$[2, 2, 11]$	36	4.16
$[2, 2, 23]$	36	4.9
$[2, 4, 11]$	36	4.16
$[3, 27]$	49	4.2
$[2, 32]$	64	4.2
$[2, 2, 23]$	81	4.9